arXiv:1411.2423v4 [math.DG] 2 Jul 2015

THE SPACE OF POSITIVE SCALAR CURVATURE METRICS ON A MANIFOLD WITH BOUNDARY

MARK WALSH

Abstract. We study the space of Riemannian metrics with positive scalar curvature on a compact manifold with boundary. These metrics extend a fixed boundary metric and take a product structure on a collar neighbourhood of the boundary. We show that the weak homotopy type of this space is preserved by certain surgeries on the boundary in codimension at least three. Thus, there is a weak homotopy equivalence between the space of such metrics on a simply connected spin manifold W, of dimension $n \geq 6$ and with simply connected boundary, and the corresponding space of metrics of positive scalar curvature on the standard disk D^n. Indeed, for certain boundary metrics, this space is weakly homotopy equivalent to the space of all metrics of positive scalar curvature on the standard sphere S^n. Finally, we prove analogous results for the more general space where the boundary metric is left unfixed.

Date: July 6, 2015.

Key words and phrases. space of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature, manifold with boundary, surgery, bordism, spin, Gromov-Lawson construction, weak homotopy equivalence

The author acknowledges support from Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant No. 280310.

Contents

1. Introduction 3
1.1. Results 3
1.2. Background 4
1.3. Structure of the paper 5
1.4. Acknowledgements 8
2. Preliminaries 8
2.1. Isotopy and concordance 9
2.2. Standard metrics on the disk and sphere 10
2.3. Rescaling metrics on the disk 14
3. Revisiting the Family Gromov-Lawson Construction 15
3.1. Surgery 15
3.2. The Gromov-Lawson Construction on compact families of metrics 16
3.3. A summary of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1 18
4. Revisiting Chernysh's Theorem 25
4.1. Standard metrics 25
4.2. Metrics which are rotationally symmetric near the surgery sphere 29
4.3. Almost standard metrics 32
4.4. The proof of Chernysh's Theorem 36
5. Variations on the Torpedo Metric 43
5.1. Toes and boots 43
5.2. Making the boot metric 46
5.3. Step metrics 50
6. Surgery on the Boundary 52
6.1. Surgery Type 1. 52
6.2. Surgery Type 2. 53
7. The Proof of Theorem A 54
7.1. Extending the Gromov-Lawson construction over the trace of a surgery 54
7.2. The first claim of Theorem A 55
7.3. Adjustments on the collar 56
7.4. The strategy for proving Theorem A 57
7.5. The left side of the diagram 58
7.6. The right side of the diagram 60
8. The Proofs of Theorems B and C 68
8.1. Proof of Theorem B 68
8.2. Proof of Theorem C 69
9. Appendix 1: Isotopy and Concordance 72
10. Appendix 2: Bending Cylinder Metrics 74
References 77

1. Introduction

Recently, much progress has occurred in understanding the topology of the space $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$, of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature (psc-metrics) on a smooth manifold X; see for example [2], [8] and [12]. With the exception of [2], these results deal almost exclusively with the case when X is closed. In this paper, we replace X with a manifold W, whose boundary is non-empty and, after imposing certain boundary conditions, we study an analogous space of psc-metrics on W, $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$. The results of this paper aim to shed some light on the problem of understanding the topology of this space and some relevant subspaces, as well as to demonstrate its relationship to the analogous problem for closed manifolds.

More precisely, let W be a smooth compact manifold with $\dim W = n+1$, and boundary $\partial W = X$, a closed manifold with $\dim X = n$. We specify a collar $c : X \times [0,2) \hookrightarrow W$ and denote by $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$, the space of all psc-metrics on W which take a product structure on the image $c(X \times [0,1])$. Thus, $h \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)$ if $c^*h = g + dt^2$ on $X \times [0,1]$ for some $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. A further boundary condition we impose is to fix a psc-metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. We then define the subspace $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \subset \mathcal{R}^+(W)$ of all psc-metrics $h \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)$ where $(c^*h)|_{X\times\{0\}} = g$. Note that we allow for the possibility that the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$, or $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$, may be empty. To formulate our main theorem, we consider a smooth compact $(n+1)$-manifold Z whose boundary $\partial Z = X_0 \sqcup X_1$, is a disjoint union of closed n-manifolds. Here we specify a pair of disjoint collars $c_0 : X_0 \times [0,2) \hookrightarrow W$, $c_1 : X_1 \times [0,2) \hookrightarrow W$ around X_0 and X_1 respectively. We fix a pair of psc-metrics $g_0 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X_0)$ and $g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X_1)$ and denote by $\mathcal{R}^+(Z)_{g_0,g_1}$, the space of psc-metrics $\bar{g}$ on Z so that $c_i^*\bar{g} = g_i + dt^2$ on $X_i \times [0,1]$ for $i = 0, 1$. Here, we assume $\mathcal{R}^+(Z)_{g_0,g_1}$ is non-empty. Suppose $\partial W = X_0$, where X_0 is one of the boundary components of Z. Let $W \cup Z$ denote the manifold obtained by gluing Z to W along this boundary component. Denoting by c the collar c_1, we consider the subspace $\mathcal{R}^+(W \cup Z)_{g_1}$ of $\mathcal{R}^+(W \cup Z)$, consisting of psc-metrics which restrict as $g_1 + dt^2$ on $c(X_1 \times [0,1])$. For any element $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(Z)_{g_0,g_1}$, there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Z,\bar{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W \cup Z)_{g_1} \\ h &\longmapsto h \cup \bar{g}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.0.1}$$

where $h \cup \bar{g}$ is the metric obtained on $W \cup Z$ by the obvious gluing depicted in Fig.1.



Figure 1. Attaching (W, h) to $(Z, \bar{g})$ along a common boundary

1.1. **Results.** Suppose $S^p \hookrightarrow X$ is an embedding with trivial bormal bundle, $p + q + 1 = n$ and $q \geq 2$. Let m be any Riemannian metric on m. Using the exponential map with respect to m and a suitably small disk bundle of the normal bundle, we may extend this embedding

to obtain an embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \to X$. We will discuss this in more detail in section 3. For now though, let $Z = T_\phi$, be the trace of the surgery on X with respect to ϕ. Thus $\partial T_\phi = X \sqcup X'$ where X' is the manifold obtained from X by surgery. In the case when $q \geq 2$, the Surgery Theorem of Gromov and Lawson [11], describes a technique for constructing, from any psc-metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, a psc-metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}^+(X')$. A useful strengthening of this technique allows for the determination of a particular psc-metric $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}(T_\phi)_{g,g'}$, known as a *Gromov-Lawson trace* (or, more generally, a *Gromov-Lawson cobordism*); see [9], [24]. Metrics which are accessible from each other by a sequence of Gromov-Lawson surgeries are said to be *Gromov-Lawson cobordant.* The map (1.0.1) now takes the form

$$\mu_{T_{\phi,\bar{g}}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \to \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'},$$

where $W' = W \cup T_\phi$. Our results are as follows.

Theorem A. *Suppose $p, q \geq 2$. For any $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, there exist psc-metrics $g' \in \mathcal{R}^+(X')$ and $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(T_\phi)_{g,g'}$ so that the map $\mu_{T_\phi,\bar{g}}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence:*

$$\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \simeq \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}.$$

Theorem B. *Suppose W is a smooth compact spin manifold with closed boundary X. We further assume that W and X are both simply connected and that $\dim W = n + 1 \geq 6$.*

(i.) For any $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ where $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ is non-empty, there is a psc-metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}^+(S^n)$ and a weak homotopy equivalence:

$$\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \simeq \mathcal{R}^+(D^{n+1})_{g'}.$$

(ii.) Furthermore, if g is Gromov-Lawson cobordant to the standard round metric ds^2_n, there is a weak homotopy equivalence:

$$\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \simeq \mathcal{R}^+(S^{n+1}).$$

Theorem C. *When $p, q \geq 2$, the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W')$ are weakly homotopy equivalent.*

Corollary D. *When W and X satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem B, the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(D^{n+1})$ are weakly homotopy equivalent.*

1.2. **Background.** We begin with a brief discussion of the original problem for a closed n-dimensional manifold X. The space $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is an open subspace of the space of all Riemannian metrics on X, denoted $\mathcal{R}(X)$, under its usual C^∞-topology. An old question in this subject is whether or not X admits any psc-metrics, i.e. whether or not $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is non-empty. Although work continues on this problem, in the case when X is simply connected and $n \geq 5$, necessary and sufficient conditions are known: $\mathcal{R}^+(X) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if X is either non-spin or X is spin and the Dirac index $\alpha(X) \in KO_n$ is zero. This result is due to Stolz [23], following important work by Gromov, Lawson [11] and others. For a survey of this problem, see [21].

In the case when the space $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is non-empty, one may inquire about its topology. Up until recently, very little was known about this space beyond the level of path-connectivity. Hitchin showed for example, in [15], that if X is spin, $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}^+(X)) \neq 0$ when $n \equiv 0, 1 (\mathrm{mod} 8)$ and that $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}^+(X)) \neq 0$ when $n \equiv 0, -1 (\mathrm{mod} 8)$. It is worth noting that all of these non-trivial elements disappear once one descends to $\mathcal{M}^+(X) := \mathcal{R}^+(X)/\mathrm{Diff}(X)$, the moduli

space of psc-metrics. Here, $\mathrm{Diff}(X)$ is the group of self-diffeomorphisms on X and acts on $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ by pulling back metrics. Later, Carr showed in [7], that when X is the sphere S^n, $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}^+(S^{4k-1}))$ is infinite for all $k \geq 2$ and all but finitely many of these non-trivial elements survive in the moduli space. Various generalisations of this result have been achieved. In particular, Botvinnik and Gilkey showed that $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}^+(X)) \neq 0$ in the case when X is spin and $\pi_1(X)$ is finite; see [4]. It is also worth mentioning the Kreck-Stolz s-invariant, defined in [16], which distinguishes path components of the space $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ under certain circumstances. More recently, there have been a number of significant results which exhibit the non-triviality of higher homotopy groups of both $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ for a variety of manifolds X; see [3], [8] and [12]. Most of these results ([15], [8], [12]) involve showing that for certain closed spin manifolds X and certain psc-metrics $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, a particular variation of the Dirac index, introduced by Hitchin in [15], often induces non-trivial homomorphisms

$$A_k(X, g) : \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(X), g) \longrightarrow KO_{k+n+1}.$$

Most recently of all, Botvinnik, Ebert and Randal-Williams in [2], show that this map is always non-trivial when the codomain is non-trivial. Their methods are new and make use of work done by Randal-Williams and Galatius on moduli spaces of manifolds; see [10].

One result which the authors in [2] make use of and which is of particular relevance here, is the following theorem which utilises a family version of the Gromov-Lawson construction.

Theorem 1.2.1. (Chernysh [5]**, W.** [26]**)** *Let X be a smooth compact manifold of dimension n. Suppose X' is obtained from X by surgery on a sphere $i : S^p \hookrightarrow X$ with $p+q+1 = n$ and $p, q \geq 2$. Then the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(X')$ are homotopy equivalent.*

Theorem 1.2.1 and the techniques used to prove it play a fundamental role in proving the results of this paper. This theorem was originally proved by Chernysh in [5] but was not published. Later, we provided a shorter proof in [26] based on work done in [24]. At least one expert has criticised the version of the proof in [26] for surpressing certain details. Given its importance here, and in the subject more generally, a comprehensive review of the proof is provided in this paper. Theorems A and C in this paper are of course generalisations of Theorem 1.2.1 for manifolds with boundary. Generalising Theorem 1.2.1 is trivial in the case when the surgery takes place on the interior of such a manifold. We focus on the more challenging case when the surgery takes place on the boundary itself.

We close by recalling some fundamental questions which motivate this work.

(1.) Given some $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, is the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ non-empty?

(2.) If $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \neq \emptyset$, what can we say about its topology?

(3.) What can we say about the topology of the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$?

Although not strictly the focus of this work, Question (1.) is relevant here as its answer is often negative. For example, the methods used by Carr in [7] give rise to psc-metrics on S^{4k-1} which do not extend to elements of $\mathcal{R}^+(D^{4k})$, for all $k \geq 2$. Questions (2.) and (3.) are posed in problem 3, section 2.1 of the survey article [21], and our results are a contribution to answering these questions.

1.3. **Structure of the paper.** In section 2, we introduce a number of standard notions which we refer to throughout the paper. In particular, this includes a discussion of isotopy

and concordance of psc-metrics and of the well-known fact that isotopic psc-metrics are concordant. A detailed proof of this fact is given in an appendix, section 9. We will also introduce various standard metrics on the disk and sphere, such as "torpedo metrics," which are commonplace in these constructions.

The main result of this paper is Theorem A. This will be proved in section 7 while Theorems B, C and Corollary D are dealt with in section 8, drawing of course on the results of previous sections. To set ourselves up for these proofs, it is important to thoroughly review the analogous result for closed manifolds, Theorem 1.2.1. We make considerable use of this result and the various techniques contained in its proof. The principal technical tool used in proving Theorem 1.2.1 is a version of the Gromov-Lawson surgery construction for continuously deforming compact families of psc-metrics on a manifold to families of psc-metrics which take a standard form near an embedded surgery sphere. We provide a brief review of this "family Gromov-Lawson construction" in section 3, allowing us to introduce much of the notation and many of the objects used throughout the paper. This is followed in section 4 with a comprehensive review of Theorem 1.2.1.

One difficulty in proving Theorem 1.2.1 is that we must compare two spaces of metrics which are on different underlying manifolds. The solution is to replace the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(X')$ with simpler subspaces $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X')$, where metrics take a standard form near corresponding embedded surgery spheres. In other words, where the manifolds differ, we make the metrics standard and so easily convertible from one manifold to the other. After some further modification, we then exhibit a homeomorphism between these standardised subspaces. The real work is in showing that these standardised spaces are each weakly homotopy equivalent to their respective ambient spaces. It suffices to do this in the case of X as the argument for X' is the same. The idea is to show that

$$\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(X), \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)) = 0,$$

for all $k \geq 0$. Thus, it suffices to show that any compact family $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ can be continuously moved to a family which lies in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$, without moving elements of the space $\mathcal{K}_{\text{std}} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ out of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$.

The family version of the Gromov-Lawson construction reviewed in section 3 provides us with a way of moving $\mathcal{K}$ into $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$. There is a problem here in that this deformation temporarily moves elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{std}}$ out of the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$. We get around this problem by introducing an intermediary space, $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$, of metrics which are "almost standard" near the embedded surgery sphere. This space is included in the following way.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

The space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ is, essentially, the space of all metrics which are reachable by finitely many applications of the Gromov-Lawson construction on already standard metrics. Importantly, it is defined to be invariant under the Gromov-Lawson deformation and so elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{std}}$ remain in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ under this deformation. Thus, the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ may be shown to be a weak homotopy equivalence. Showing that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ is not so difficult, as the larger space is significantly more restricted than $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and we will have a very nice description of metrics on the "standard (or almost standard) region" as rotationally symmetric warped product metrics. Thus we will be able to do most of our work at the level of the warping functions. We should point out that the construction of the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ requires some work. Indeed, a criticism leveled against the proof of

this theorem in [26], is that the definition of the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ there is too vague. We take this criticism seriously and hope that the definition given in this paper is more transparent.

Much of the ideology behind the proof of Theorem 1.2.1 carries over to the proof of Theorem A. In particular, just as the manifolds X and X' in Theorem 1.2.1 are mutually obtainable by complementary surgeries, so are the manifolds W and W' in Theorem A. In the latter case however, these surgeries are of two different types. The surgery on the embedded sphere $S^p \hookrightarrow \partial W \subset W$ which turns W into W' is defined to be a surgery of type 1. The complementary surgery in this case, which turns W' back into W, is actually a surgery on an embedded disk $D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow W'$. This embedding restricts on the boundary of the disk to a certain embedding $S^q \to \partial W$. This second type of surgery is defined to be a surgery of type 2. All of this is detailed in section 6, having introduced a number of variations on the torpedo metric in section 5.

In the next section, section 7, we prove Theorem A. This involves specifying various subspaces of $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$, in which the metrics are standardised near embedded surgery spheres and disks to prepare them for Gromov-Lawson style *geometric* surgeries of types 1 and 2. An important tool in this "standardising process" is a variation of the torpedo metric called a "boot metric". This is constructed in section 5, with the more tedious calculations relegated to an appendix, section 10. After some work, we will obtain a commutative diagram which, roughly speaking, takes the form shown below. This diagram is an oversimplification but it helps get the idea across.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g & \xrightarrow{\mu_{(T_\phi,\bar{g})}} & \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{\text{std}}} & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'} \end{array}$$

The top horizontal map is of course the map (1.0.1) from Theorem A, the map we wish to show is a weak homotopy equivalence. We will show this by showing that each of the remaining three maps is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The bottom horizontal map, between the standardised spaces, is easily shown to be a homeomorphism, as indicated above. As with Theorem 1.2.1, the difficult part is in demonstrating that the vertical maps above are weak homotopy equivalences. Unlike in Theorem 1.2.1, the cases of W and W' are actually quite different. We treat these cases in separate subsections, which we call the "left" and "right sides of the diagram." The left vertical map, μ_{std}, is a map which, roughly speaking, adds a new standardised collar to W. This standardised collar makes use of the so-called "boot" metric construction. By further adding an upside-down version of this collar, we obtain a map which is easily seen to be a homotopy inverse of μ_{std}.

Showing that the inclusion map on the right side is a weak homotopy equivalence is more challenging. Moreover, the argument used to prove it is truly an analogue of the argument used to prove Theorem 1.2.1. The surgery sphere in this case is replaced by an embedded surgery disk. The space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}$, roughly, consists of psc-metrics which are standard on a much larger region than the collar around $\partial W'$. Indeed, in this case the standard region contains all of the embedded surgery disk and more beyond that. Using the Gromov-Lawson deformation, we can show that $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to a space of psc-metrics which are standard near the embedded surgery disk. But this is not enough. This

difference is sketched out in Fig. 2 below. The left metric here is standard on a much larger region that, although not strictly cylindrical, can roughly be called the "larger collar."



FIGURE 2. An element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}$ (left) and the result of applying the Gromov-Lawson deformation near the embedded surgery disk (middle) to an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ (right)

To standardise metrics on the "larger collar", we specify a deformation procedure. As with the Gromov-Lawson deformation in the case of Theorem 1.2.1, this deformation alters metrics which are already standard on this larger collar, thus moving them temporarily out of the standard space. Once again, we get around this problem by specifying an intermediary space of metrics which is invariant under this construction and which is easily shown to be weakly homotopy equivalent to the standard space. Just as we generalise the standard torpedo metric to an almost standard metric in the case of Theorem 1.2.1, this intermediary space will involve a generalisation of the aforementioned boot metric, to a metric known as a step metric. As the reader will see, there are strong parallels between the constructions of both intermediary spaces.

1.4. **Acknowledgements.** This work was originally intended as an appendix to the paper, [2], by B. Botvinnik, J. Ebert and O. Randal-Williams but grew into a larger project of independent interest. I would like to express gratitude to all three authors for their comments and suggestions as this project developed, and for the original invitation to submit this work as an appendix. I would like to express my utmost gratitude B. Botvinnik, for originally suggesting this project to me and for some significant contributions to its development. A number of insights relating to this work were gained by attendance of the workshop "Analysis and Topology in Interaction" in Cortona, June 2014. My thanks to the organisers, epecially W. Lück, P. Piazza and T. Schick, for their kind invitation. Finally, it is a pleasure to thank D. Wraith and C. Escher for their helpful comments.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will take care of a number of preliminary details concerning certain objects and notions which will be used throughout the paper. In particular, we will review some standard metric constructions on the disk and sphere. Before doing this, we recall the notions of isotopy and concordance on spaces of psc-metrics. We will occasionally need to consider certain spaces of smooth maps between manifolds. Unless otherwise stated,

all manifolds in this paper are smooth and compact. For any such manifolds, M and N, $C^\infty(M,N)$ denotes the space of smooth functions from M to N under the usual C^∞ function space topology on this space; see chapter 2 of [14] for details. Throughout the paper, when we consider subspaces of smooth functions from M to N, such as smooth embeddings, we assume the subspace topology.

2.1. **Isotopy and concordance.** We will concentrate initially on the space $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Two psc-metrics $g_0, g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ are *isotopic* if there exists a path, $I = [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, defined by $t \mapsto g_t$, connecting g_0 to g_1. Such a path is called an *isotopy*. Two psc-metrics $g_0, g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ are said to be *concordant* if the space $\mathcal{R}^+(X \times I)_{g_0,g_1}$ is non-empty. Thus, there are psc-metrics on the cylinder $X \times I$ which take the form of a product $g_0 + dt^2$ and $g_1 + dt^2$ near the respective ends $X \times \{0\}$ and $X \times \{1\}$. Elements of the space $\mathcal{R}^+(X \times I)_{g_0,g_1}$ are called *concordances*. It is a well-known fact that isotopic metrics are concordant; see Lemma 3 of [11]. In particular, suppose $t \mapsto g_t \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, where $t \in I$, is an isotopy. Consider the metric $g_t + dt^2$ on $X \times I$. This metric does not necessarily have positive scalar curvature, as negative curvature may arise in the t-direction. However, by appropriately "slowing down" change in this direction we can minimize negative curvature and use the slices to obtain overall positivity. This is the subject of the following lemma, which allows us to turn an isotopy into a concordance. Various versions of this lemma may be found in the literature; see for example [9] or [11]. Given its importance in our work, we provide a detailed proof in an appendix to this paper, section 9.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $g_r, r \in I$ be a smooth path in $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Then there exists a constant $0 < \Lambda \leq 1$ so that for every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ with $|\dot{f}|, |\ddot{f}| \leq \Lambda$, the metric $G = g_{f(t)} + dt^2$ on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive scalar curvature.*

Corollary 2.1.2. *Isotopic psc-metrics on X are concordant.*

It will be quite useful later on to have a well-defined way of obtaining a concordance from an isotopy. With this in mind, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1.3. *There is a continuous map*

$$\mathrm{con} : C^\infty(I, \mathcal{R}^+(X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(X \times I),$$

which turns an isotopy $r \mapsto g_r$, $r \in I$, into a concordance $\bar{g}$ between the metrics g_0 and g_1.

Proof. Fix some family of appropriate cut-off functions $\nu_\lambda : [0,\lambda] \to [0,1]$ as shown on the left image in Fig. 3 defined so that $\nu_\lambda(t) = \nu_1(\frac{t}{\lambda})$. Note that $\nu_\lambda(t) = 0$ when t is near 0, $\nu_\lambda(t) = 1$ when t is near λ and $\lambda > 0$. Suppose, $g_r \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, where $r \in I$, is some isotopy. For each $\lambda > 0$, we obtain a metric $\bar{g} = g_{\nu_\lambda(t)} + dt^2$ on $X \times [0,\lambda]$. From the proof of Lemma 2.1.1, the scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ of this metric takes the form

$$\bar{R} = R + O(|\dot{\nu_\lambda}|) + O(|\dot{\nu_\lambda}|^2) + O(|\ddot{\nu_\lambda}|),$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of the slice metric. Suppose we let $R_{\inf}$ denote the infimum of the scalar curvature of the metric g_r over all $r \in [0,1]$. This allows us to specify a parameter $\Lambda = \Lambda(R_{\inf})$, so that $\bar{R} > 0$ whenever

$$|\dot{\nu_\lambda}|, |\ddot{\nu_\lambda}| \leq \Lambda(R_{\inf}).$$

As $\nu_\lambda(t) = \nu_1(\frac{t}{\lambda})$, we can now specify a parameter $\lambda = \lambda(R_{\inf})$ sufficiently large so that these inequalities hold. This determines a well-defined concordance. □



FIGURE 3. The cutoff function ν_λ (left) and the concordance, $\bar{g}$, obtained from the isotopy $t \mapsto g_t$ for an appropriately large $\lambda > 0$ (right).

With regard to the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$, where $g \in \mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$, the notion of isotopy is exactly the same. An isotopy between metrics in one of these spaces is simply a path in the space connecting the metrics. It is not hard to see how to generalise the definition of concordance in this case, however we will not make use of this notion here.

2.2. **Standard metrics on the disk and sphere.** We begin by recalling a number of standard metrics on the disk D^n and sphere S^n. As smooth topological objects, we model the disk $D^n = D^n(1)$ as the set of points $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$ and the sphere $S^n = S^n(1)$ as the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = 1\}$. Let ds_n^2 denote the standard round metric of radius 1 on S^n. This metric may be obtained by the embedding into Euclidean space

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n\text{-round}} : (0,\pi) \times S^{n-1} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (r,\theta) &\longmapsto (\cos r, \sin r.\theta), \end{aligned}$$

and computed in these coordinates as

$$ds_n^2 = dr^2 + \sin^2(r) ds_{n-1}^2.$$

Strictly speaking this metric is defined on the cylinder $(0,\pi) \times S^{n-1}$. However, the behaviour of the function, sin, near the end points of the interval $(0,\pi)$ gives the cylinder $(0,\pi) \times S^{n-1}$ the geometry of a round n-dimensional sphere which is missing a pair of antipodal points. Such a metric extends uniquely onto the sphere. More generally, consider the warped product metric $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2$, where $\beta : [0,b] \to [0,\infty)$ is a smooth function. It is a fact (Chapter 1, Section 3.4, [20]) that this metric extends uniquely to a rotationally symmetric metric on S^n if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(i.)} & \beta(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\beta}(0) = 1, & \beta^{(even)}(0) = 0, \\ \text{(ii.)} & \beta(b) = 0, \quad \dot{\beta}(b) = -1, & \beta^{(even)}(b) = 0. \end{array}$$

If we drop the conditions regarding the behaviour of β at b, in (2.2), and instead insist only that $\beta(b) > 0$, the metric $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2$ gives rise to a metric on the disk D^n. In particular, we define the space $\mathcal{B}_b$ to be the space of all smooth functions $\beta : [0,b] \to [0,\infty]$ which satisfy

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(i.)} & \beta(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\beta}(0) = 1, & \beta^{(even)}(0) = 0, \\ \text{(ii.)} & \beta((0,b]) \subset (0,\infty). & \end{array} \tag{2.2.1}$$

We then define $\mathcal{B}$ to be the space

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{b>0} \mathcal{B}_b.$$

The space $\mathcal{B}$ is given a pull-back topology by mapping it to a subspace of the space of smooth functions, $C^\infty([0,1],[0,\infty))$. This subspace is denoted by $C^\infty_{\mathcal{B}}([0,1],[0,\infty))$ and is defined

as follows. For each function $\beta : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$ in the space $\mathcal{B}$, we associate the rescaled function $\beta_1 : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ defined by $\beta_1(r) = \beta(br)$. The association $\beta \mapsto \beta_1$ defines a function $\mathcal{B} \to C^\infty([0, 1], [0, \infty))$, the image of which is the space $C^\infty_{\mathcal{B}}([0, 1], [0, \infty))$.

The scalar curvature, R_β, of a warped product metric, $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$, is given by the formula

$$R_\beta(r, \theta) = -2(n-1)\frac{\ddot{\beta}(r)}{\beta(r)} + (n-1)(n-2)\frac{1 - \dot{\beta}(r)^2}{\beta(r)^2}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

For each $b > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}^+_b$, the subspace of $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of functions which induce positive scalar curvature metrics. More precisely, this is defined

$$\mathcal{B}^+_b := \{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_b : R_\beta > 0\}.$$

We then denote by $\mathcal{B}^+ \subset \mathcal{B}$, the corresponding union over $b > 0$, of the spaces $\mathcal{B}^+_b$.

We consider now an important example of such a warped product metric. A *δ-torpedo function* is a function

$$\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, b],$$

which satisfies the following conditions.

(i.) $\eta_\delta \in \mathcal{B}^+_b$.
(ii.) $\eta_\delta(b) = \delta$ and the k^{th} derivative $\eta^{(k)}_\delta(b) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.
(iii.) $\ddot{\eta}_\delta \leq 0$ and $\ddot{\eta}_\delta(r) < 0$ when r is near but not at 0.

These conditions imply that $0 \leq \dot{\eta}_\delta \leq 1$ and, in fact, $\dot{\eta}_\delta(r) < 1$ when $r > 0$. Allowing for arbitrary $\delta > 0$, such functions are called *torpedo functions*. We denote by $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}_b$, the subspace of $\mathcal{B}^+_b$ consisting of torpedo functions (of any radius δ) and by $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, the union of these spaces over all $b > 0$, equipped with the subspace topology via $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}} \subset \mathcal{B}^+$.

In previous work, such as [24], we restricted the definition of torpedo function to a subclass of such functions, obtained as follows. Fix a smooth function $\eta_1 : [0, b] \to [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following conditions.

(i.) $\eta_1(r) = \sin r$ when r is near 0.
(ii.) $\eta_1(r) = 1$ when $r \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$.
(iii.) $\ddot{\eta}_1(r) \leq 0$.

In this case, the constant b is assumed to be at least as large as $\frac{\pi}{2}$. More generally, we define a function $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$ by

$$\eta_\delta(r) = \delta\eta_1(\tfrac{r}{\delta}),$$

where b is any quantity satisfying $b \geq \delta\frac{\pi}{2}$. Such functions will be called *perfect torpedo functions* and we denote by $\mathcal{W}^{\text{perf}} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, the corresponding subspace of perfect torpedo functions. In this paper, it will be useful to use the earlier, slightly looser, definition of torpedo function. We emphasise that this looser definition does not in any way affect the efficacy of such functions. We will say a little more about this shortly.

Proposition 2.2.1. *For each $b > 0$, the space $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}_b$ is convex.*

Proof. This is an elementary exercise. □

Proposition 2.2.2. *The inclusion $\mathcal{W}^{\text{perf}} \subset \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$ forms part of a deformation retract.*

Proof. Denoting the inclusion by i, we define a retract $r : \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}} \to \mathcal{W}^{\text{perf}}$ as follows. Suppose $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, b]$, is an element of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}_b$, for some $b > 0$. We define $r(\omega)$ to be the perfect torpedo function η_δ where $\delta = \min\{\frac{2b}{\pi}, \omega(b)\}$. Here, we note that the quantity $\frac{2b}{\pi}$ is the maximum height that a perfect torpedo function defined on $[0, b]$ may take. It is now clear that $r \circ i$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{W}^{\text{perf}}$. It remains to show that $i \circ r$ is homotopy equivalent to the identity map on $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$. We specify such a homotopy, $H : \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}} \times I \to \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, in the obvious way as

$$H(\omega, t) = (1 - t)i \circ r(t) + t\omega.$$

The result follows from the convexity of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}_b$ for each b. □

Given any δ-torpedo function $\eta_\delta \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, the resulting metric $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta) = dr^2 + \eta_\delta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$ is a *torpedo metric of radius* δ on the disk D^n, a rotationally symmetric metric which is, roughly, a round hemisphere near the centre of D^n and a round cylinder near its boundary; see Fig. 4. To avoid any misunderstanding, we emphasise that the torpedo metric depicted in the right image of this figure is *not* obtained by rotating the curve depicted in the left image. We will come to the subject of such rotations shortly. It is evident from formula (2.2.2) that, provided $n \geq 3$, all of these metrics have positive scalar curvature. The cylindrical part of



FIGURE 4. A (perfect) δ-torpedo function η_δ (left) and the resulting torpedo metric $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ on D^n (right)

such a metric is called the *neck* of the torpedo. The (roughly) round part is called the *tip*. By insisting $\eta^{(k)}(b) = 0$ when $k \geq 1$, we insist that a torpedo metric must have a neck (at least infinitesimally). As we mentioned earlier, for perfect torpedo functions, this means that for a fixed $b > 0$, the maximum height of such a function $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$ is $\delta = \frac{2b}{\pi}$. Any point on or below below the diagonal line between $(0, 0)$ and $(b, \frac{2b}{\pi})$, lies on the neck part of the graph of a unique perfect torpedo function. This diagonal line, appropriately called the *neckline*, intersects the graph of each perfect torpedo function η_δ, where $\delta \in (0, \frac{2b}{\pi}]$, at the point $(\frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \delta)$. In each case, this is where the neck begins; see right image of Fig 5. More general torpedo functions may have necks which begin before or after the neckline; see left image in Fig. 5. The former can be considered "blunter" and the latter "sharper", than the perfect torpedo curves.

It is important to clarify that when we say a metric g on the disk D^n is $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$, we mean that for some b and some $\delta > 0$, there is a δ-torpedo function $\eta_\delta \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}_b$ for which the metric $dr^2 + \eta^2_b(r) ds^2_{n-1}$ on the disk $D^n(b)$ pulls back, via the obvious rescaling, to the metric g. Thus, $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ is, strictly speaking, a collection of torpedo metrics of radius δ with varying neck lengths. To say a metric takes the form $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ on a disk is to say that it is isometric to one metric in this collection. More generally, if we say that a metric g on an n-dimensional manifold X, is $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ on some neighbourhood $U \subset X$, we mean that there is a diffeomorphism $U \cong D^n$ so that this metric pulls back via this diffeomorphism to a metric on D^n which is $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$. In other words, $g|_N$ is isometric to a δ-torpedo metric.



FIGURE 5. Torpedo functions, general (left), perfect (right) with neckline (dashed)

We can obtain a metric on S^n by taking the double of $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$. Such a metric is given by the formula $dt^2 + \bar{\eta}_\delta(t)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$, where $\bar{\eta}_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$ agrees with η_δ on $[0, \frac{b}{2}]$ and is given by the formula $\bar{\eta}_\delta(t) = \eta_\delta(b - t)$ on $[\frac{b}{2}, b]$. Such a metric is called a *double torpedo metric of radius* δ and denoted $g^n_{\text{dtorp}}(\delta)$. It is easy to check that $\bar{\eta}_\delta$ satisfies the conditions of (2.2.4).

Remark 2.2.3. We emphasise that the generalisation from perfect torpedo metrics to what we are now calling torpedo metrics has no negative consequences for any of the results proved in [24], [25] or [26]. The important thing is that each torpedo function has a neck. The precise way in which the tip of the torpedo closes up to determine a smooth metric is unimportant. For the most part, the reader may assume that we are using perfect torpedo functions and metrics as before. Later on though, it will be useful have the more general definition.

Just as we obtained the round metric on S^n from the embedding $F_{n\text{-round}}$ above, it is useful to be able to realise torpedo and double torpedo metrics as the result of embeddings into Euclidean space. With this in mind, consider the map F, which takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} F : [0, b] \times S^{n-1} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (t, \theta) &\longmapsto (\alpha(t), \beta(t).\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

Here α and β are smooth functions $[0, b] \to [0, \infty)$. We will now establish conditions on α and β whereby this map is an embedding inducing a psc-metric on the sphere S^n. With regard to β, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= 0, & \beta(b) &= 0, \\ \dot{\beta}(0) &= 1, & \dot{\beta}(b) &= -1, \\ \beta^{(even)}(0) &= 0, & \beta^{(even)}(b) &= 0, \\ \ddot{\beta} &\leq 0, & \dddot{\beta}(0) &< 0, \qquad \dddot{\beta}(b) > 0, \\ \ddot{\beta}(r) &< 0, & \text{when } r \text{ is near but not at 0 and } b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4}$$

Furthermore, we define α to be the function given by

$$\alpha(r) = \alpha_0 - \int_0^r \sqrt{1 - \dot{\beta}(u)^2} du,$$

where $\alpha_0 = \int_0^b \sqrt{1 - \dot{\beta}(u)^2}$. Notice that the conditions above mean that $|\dot{\beta}| \leq 1$ and so this formula makes sense. In particular, $\dot{\alpha}^2 + \dot{\beta}^2 = 1$ and so the curve $[0, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $r \mapsto (\alpha(r), \beta(r))$ is a unit speed curve. Finally, we consider smooth maps $F_\beta : (0, b) \times S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of the form given in (2.2.3) where β and α satisfy the above conditions.

Lemma 2.2.4. *The map F_β is an embedding.*

Proof. Injectivity of F_β is guaranteed by the fact that $\beta > 0$ and that α is strictly monotonic. The maximality of the rank of the derivative of F_β follows from an easy calculation. □

Furthermore, the induced metric, obtained by pulling back the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via F_β, is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta^*(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \cdots + dx_{n+1}^2) &= (\dot{\alpha}(r)^2 + \dot{\beta}(r)^2)dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2 \\ &= dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when β is the double torpedo function $\bar{\eta}_\delta$, this embedding produces a double torpedo metric and we denote the embedding, $F_{\delta-\text{dtorp}}$. Finally, in [24, Proposition 1.6], we prove the following.

Proposition 2.2.5. *For each function β satisfying the conditions laid out in (2.2.4), the metric $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2$ has positive scalar curvature.*

Once again we may restrict our attention to metrics on the disk by dropping the conditions regarding the behaviour of the function β on the endpoint b and simply insisting that $\beta(b) > 0$. In particular, setting $\beta = \eta_\delta \in \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$ gives us an embedding $F_\beta := F_{\delta-\text{torp}}$ which induces a δ-torpedo metric on the disk $D^n(b)$ (and in turn on D^n). This is depicted in Fig. 6 below. Incidentally, the unit speed curve $[0, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $r \mapsto (\alpha(r), \eta_\delta(r))$ is known as a *δ-torpedo curve*. Unlike the aforementioned torpedo function which intersects the horizontal axis at the angle $\frac{\pi}{4}$, the torpedo curve intersects at the angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$, which is more intuitive.



FIGURE 6. The δ-torpedo curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (left) and the image of the resulting embedding $F_{\delta-\text{torp}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (right)

2.3. **Rescaling metrics on the disk.** In constructing metrics on the disk D^n, we will often work on an underlying disk or sphere $D^n(\rho)$ with radius $\rho > 0$ which is not equal to one. Moreover, we will have a need to pull back metrics from a disk of one radius to another. Typically, we will work with metrics which are rotationally symmetric with respect to the obvious action of $O(n)$. Such metrics restrict on spheres $S^{n-1}(r)$ to the round metric (of some radius not necessarily r). An obvious example of this is the aforementioned torpedo metric. It will be important for us to preserve this $O(n)$ symmetry when we pull back metrics. By a *rescaling function*

$$\tau : D^n(\rho) \longrightarrow D^n(\rho'),$$

we will mean a smooth function which satisfies $\tau(0) = 0$ as well as the following condition. Equipping $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with polar coordinates $(r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$, the map τ takes the form

$$\tau(r, \theta) = (\tau_1(r), \theta)$$

where τ_1 is a diffeomorphism $\tau_1 : (0, \rho] \to (0, \rho']$. Thus an $O(n)$-symmetric metric g on $D^n(\rho')$ can be pulled back to an $O(n)$-symmetric metric on $D^n(\rho)$ via such a rescaling map. The canonical (or obvious) re-scaling function $x \mapsto \frac{\rho'}{\rho}x$, from $D^n(\rho)$ to $D^n(\rho')$ gives a canonical way of pulling back metrics from one disk to another. Occasionally, we will have need to use a more general rescaling function. In particular, we will need to perform the following type of arc-length reparameterisation.

Suppose g is an $O(n)$-symmetric metric on $D^n(\rho)$, for some $\rho > 0$. The metric g may be computed in polar coordinates (r, θ). As it is rotationally symmetric, it will take the form $\alpha(r)^2 dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$ where $\alpha, \beta : [0, \rho] \to (0, \infty)$ are smooth scaling functions. We then make a change of coordinates by defining

$$s(r) = \int_0^r \alpha(u)du.$$

The function $s(r)$ is injective and defined on $[0, \rho]$. We denote its inverse by $r(s)$ defined on $[0, s(\rho)]$. Here $s(\rho)$ is of course the radius of the disk $D^n(\rho)$ under the metric g. In these new coordinates, the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 + \omega(s)^2 ds^2_q,$$

where $\omega(s) = \beta(r(s))$. To avoid too many changes in notation, we will simply replace s with r and write $\omega(r)$, where now r lies on the interval $[0, b]$, with $b = s(\rho)$. This observation can be summarised in the following elementary proposition.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let g be an $O(n)$-symmetric metric on $D^n(\rho)$. Then there is a unique warping function $\omega_g : [0, b_g] \to [0, \infty)$, where b_g is the radius of g, so that g pulls back via a rescaling $D^n(b_g) \to D^n(\rho)$, to the metric $dr^2 + \omega_g(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$ on $D^n(b_g)$.*

3. Revisiting the Family Gromov-Lawson Construction

Before focussing on manifolds with boundary, it is important to review some aspects of the earlier work on closed manifolds. Here, we draw on work done in [24] and [26]. Throughout this paper, X is always a smooth compact n-dimensional manifold with empty boundary, while W is a smooth compact $(n + 1)$-dimensional manifold with boundary $\partial W = X$.

3.1. **Surgery.** Suppose $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \to X$ is an embedding, where $\dim X = n = p + q + 1$. Recall that a surgery on a smooth n-dimensional manifold X, with respect to the embedding ϕ, is the construction of a manifold X' by removing the image of ϕ from X and using the restricted map $\phi|_{S^p \times S^q}$ to attach $D^{p+1} \times S^q$ along the common boundary (making appropriate smoothing adjustments). The resulting manifold X', depicted in Fig. 7, is therefore defined as

$$X' := (X \setminus \phi(S^p \times \overset{\circ}{D}{}^{q+1})) \cup_\phi D^{p+1} \times S^q.$$

The *trace of the surgery on ϕ* is the manifold T_ϕ obtained by gluing the cylinder $X \times [0, 1]$ to the disk product $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ via the embedding ϕ. This is done by attaching $X \times \{1\}$ to the boundary component $S^p \times D^{q+1}$ via $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow X \times \{1\}$. Finally, we denote



FIGURE 7. Performing a surgery on the embedding ϕ

by W', the manifold obtained by gluing W to T_ϕ by identification of ∂W with $X \times \{0\}$; see Fig. 8.



FIGURE 8. The manifold W' obtained by attaching W to the trace of the surgery on ϕ, T_ϕ

3.2. **The Gromov-Lawson Construction on compact families of metrics.** Let $S^p \hookrightarrow X$ be an embedding with trivial normal bundle, where $n - p \geq 3$. We denote by N, the total space of the normal bundle over the sphere $S^p \hookrightarrow X$. For each metric $m \in \mathcal{R}(X)$, we may specify an orthonormal frame over S^p. This in turn specifies a bundle isomorphism $S^p \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \to N$, where $p + q + 1 = n$. We then consider the composition

$$\phi_r^m : S^p \times D^{q+1}(r) \hookrightarrow S^p \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\exp_m} X \ .$$

Recall, $D^{q+1}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ is the Euclidean disk of radius r, and $\exp_m$ is the exponential map with respect to the reference metric. It is well known that for any particular metric m, a sufficiently small choice of $r > 0$ guarantees that the map ϕ_r^m is an embedding. We call such a radius r, an *admissible radius* for the metric m. In our case, we fix a metric $m \in \mathcal{R}(X)$, which we call a reference metric, as well as an orthonormal frame (with respect to m) for N and obtain a family of maps ϕ_r^m as defined above. To ease notation, we will refer to $\exp_m$ as simply exp, ϕ_r^m as ϕ_r and N_r^m as N_r in the case of the reference metric. We will only include metric information here when dealing with the corresponding objects for metrics which are not m. We fix a constant radius $\bar{r} > 0$ so that $\bar{r}$ is strictly less than the injectivity radius of m. Thus, the map $\phi_{\bar{r}}$ is an embedding.

The Gromov-Lawson Surgery Theorem provides a technique for replacing any psc-metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ with a new psc-metric $g_{\text{std}} \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. The metric g_{std} satisfies the condition that, for some $r_{\text{std}} \in (0, \bar{r})$ and some sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, g_{std} pulls back to the metric

$$\phi_{r_{\text{std}}}^* g_{\text{std}} = ds_p^2 + g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta),$$

on the neighbourhood $N_{r_{\text{std}}}$, while outside $N_{\bar{r}}$, $g_{\text{std}} = g$. The metric g_{std} is thus prepared for surgery (or standardised on $N_{r_{\text{std}}}$). By removing part of the standard piece taking the form $(S^p \times D^{q+1}, ds_p^2 + g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta))$ and replacing it with $(D^{p+1} \times S^q, g_{\text{torp}}^{p+1}(1) + \delta^2 ds_q^2)$, we obtain a psc-metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}^+(X')$. This is depicted a little later on in Fig. 15.

In [24], we add that the metric g_{std} is actually isotopic to the original metric g. We further show that all of this holds for compact families of psc-metrics. More precisely, consider a compact space K and a compact family of psc-metrics on X which is indexed by K, $\mathcal{K} = \{g_k \in \mathcal{R}^+(X) : k \in K\}$. Note that for the results of this paper to be valid, K need only be a finite dimensional disk. We then consider the conical space of metrics

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}} := \{tm + (1-t)g : t \in I, g \in \mathcal{K}\} \subset \mathcal{R}(X).$$

We specify a compact family of corresponding orthonormal frames giving rise to a family of maps ϕ_r^g of the type described above where $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$. As $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is compact, the infimum of the injectivity radii of the family of metrics $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is attained as a positive constant, $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{inj}}$. We now specify a constant $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$ so that $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} < \min\{\bar{r}, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\text{inj}}\}$. In particular, this means $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an admissible radius for all $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and so $\phi_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g$ is always an embedding. We further assume that $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is sufficiently small so that $N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g \subset \text{int}N_{\bar{r}}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Note also that, for each $g \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}}$, the composition $\phi_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g \circ \phi_{\bar{r}_K}^{-1}$ restricts to a diffeomorphism from $N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$ to $N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g$. To aid the reader, we include Fig. 9, which illustrates the simpler, but analogous case when the sphere S^p is replaced by a point. Hence, the tubular neighbourhood $N_{\bar{r}}$ is now the image of the exponential map on the disk of radius $\bar{r}$. Essentially, this tells us what is going on on fibres. The following theorem is based on Theorem 2.13 of [24]. Its proof is long and technical and ties together many lengthy calculations. Given the importance of this theorem in our work and of the techniques contained in the proof, we will provide, over the remainder of the section, a summary of the proof.

Theorem 3.2.1. [24] *Let X, m, ϕ, $\bar{r}$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} \in (0, \bar{r})$ be as above. Then, for some $\delta > 0$ and some $r_{\text{std}} \in (0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$, there is a continuous map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{std}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(X) \\ g_k &\longmapsto g_{std}^k, \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

(i.) Each metric g_{std}^k satisfies the condition that, on the neighbourhood $N_{r_{\text{std}}}$, g_{std}^k pulls back to $\phi_{r_{\text{std}}}^* g_{\text{std}}^k = ds_p^2 + g_{tor}^{q+1}(\delta)$, while $g_{\text{std}}^k = g_k$ on $X \setminus N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$.

(ii.) This map is homotopic to the inclusion $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(X)$.



FIGURE 9. The diffeomorphism $\phi_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g \circ \phi_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^{-1}|_{N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}} : N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}} \to N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}^g$

Remark 3.2.2. This theorem can be stated to cover a more general situation. We may replace the embedded sphere S^p and the metric ds^2_p, with an embedding of any compact manifold Y^p (with trivial normal bundle) and any metric $h_0 \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$. The proof, which we review below, goes through just as well. This more general version of the theorem is what is originally proved in [5].

3.3. **A summary of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.** We divide our summary into four parts. Part one deals with some technical preliminaries concerning the neighbourhood on which we make adjustments. The original Gromov-Lawson theorem was concerned with only a single psc-metric g. In that case, the construction took place on a normal coordinate neighbourhood with respect to g. In our case we need to adjust a family of psc-metrics, $\mathcal{K}$, and so there is some ambiguity about the neighbourhood on which the adjustment takes place. One expert has pointed out that this issue was not adequately addressed in [24]. We will clarify this here. Parts two and three are concerned with different stages of the continual adjustment of the family $\mathcal{K}$, something which is performed in great detail in [24]. In part four, we tie parts two and three together and complete the proof.

Part 1: Preparing the neighbourhoods. It is worth reconsidering the situation described in Fig. 9. For each metric g, we will adjust the metric inside the neighbourhood $N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$, leaving the metric fixed outside of this region. This will be done by pulling the metric back to the standard product $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$ via the map $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$ and performing the adjustment here before sending the metric back to $N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$. The idea here is to have a standard place in which to adjust all metrics in $\mathcal{K}$. It will be important to show that the metric, having been adjusted and returned to $N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$, extends smoothly as the original metric g over the rest of X. Moreover, we need to show that all of this is independent of the choice of orthonormal frame used in specifying the map $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$. So far, all we have said has occurred on the right-hand side of the diagram described in Fig. 9. Having created a family of newly adjusted psc-metrics on X, we wish to standardise the neighbourhood on which the adjustments have taken place. Obviously, the neighbourhoods $N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$ vary as g varies in $\mathcal{K}$. To do this, we will use the following lemma which will allow us to pull back the family of newly adjusted metrics to a family where all major adjustments have taken place in the same neighbourhood $N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$, depicted on the left hand side of Fig. 9.

Lemma 3.3.1. *There is a family of diffeomorphisms $J_g : X \to X$, $g \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{K}$ which satisfy the following conditions. For each $g \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{K}$,*

(i.) $J_g|_{N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}} = \phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}} \circ \phi^{-1}_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}|_{N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}} : N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}} \to N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$,

(ii.) $J_g|_{X \setminus N_{\bar{r}}}$ *is the identity map on* $X \setminus N_{\bar{r}}$.

Proof. Let $[\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \bar{r}] \to \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{K}$ be a path, sending r to h_r where $h_{\bar{r}} = m$ and $h_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}} = g$. Let $\iota : [\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \bar{r}] \to [\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \infty)$ be the smooth function which sends r to $\iota(r)$, the injectivity radius of the metric h_r. As, $\bar{r}_\mathcal{K} < \bar{r}^{\text{inj}}_\mathcal{K}$ and $\bar{r} < \bar{r}^{\text{inj}}$, we may specify a smooth function $\omega : [\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \bar{r}] \to [\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \bar{r}]$, of the type shown in Fig. 10, so that $\omega(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}) = \bar{r}_\mathcal{K}$, $\omega(\bar{r}) = \bar{r}$ and r is an admissible radius for each metric $h_{\omega(r)}$. In other words, $\omega(r) < i(r)$ for all $r \in [\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}, \bar{r}]$. Importantly ω is constant near the endpoints.

For each $g \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{K}$, we now define a new metric, mg on X which agrees with with m on $X \setminus N_{\bar{r}}$ and g on $N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$. Consider the neighbourhood $N_{\bar{r}}$. Here the metric m decomposes as $m_r + dr^2$ where m_r is the induced metric on $S_r = \partial N_r \subset X$. Furthermore, on $N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}} \cong S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$,



FIGURE 10. The smooth function $\omega : [\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{r}] \to [\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{r}]$

the metric g decomposes as $g_r + dr^2$ where, for each r, g_r is the induced metric on $S^q_r = \partial N^g_r \subset X$. We transition between these metrics by replacing the m_r component with $h_{\omega(r)}$ when $r \in [\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{r}]$ and with g_r when $r < \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$. The resulting metric is denoted mg. The fact that ω is constant near the endpoints of $[\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}, \bar{r}]$ ensures that mg is smooth. Importantly, the construction of ω ensures that the map $\phi^{mg}_{\bar{r}}$ is now an embedding. Finally, we obtain the desired diffeomorphism $J_g : X \to X$ as

$$J_g(x) = \begin{cases} \phi^{mg}_{\bar{r}} \circ \phi^{-1}_{\bar{r}}|_{N_{\bar{r}}}(x) & x \in N_{\bar{r}} \\ x & x \in X \setminus N_{\bar{r}}. \end{cases}$$

□

We will make use of the family of diffeomorphisms constructed in Lemma 3.3.1 later on. We now discuss the adjustment of the metrics $g \in \mathcal{K}$.

Part 2: The first adjustment. We start by equipping the the quadrant $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ with coordinates (t, r). We then consider smooth curves in this quadrant which satisfy the following definition. A smooth curve $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, given by $\gamma(s) = (t(s), r(s))$, is said to be *admissible* if is satisfies the following conditions.

(i.) $\gamma(0) = (\bar{t}, 0)$ for some $\bar{t} > 0$ and meets the t-axis at the angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
(ii.) γ is regular, in the sense that $|\dot{\gamma}(s)| > 0$ for all s.
(iii.) The image of γ is contained in $[0, \bar{t}] \times [0, \infty)$ and, outside of $[0, \bar{t}] \times [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}]$ consists only of a vertical line segment $[\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}, \infty)$.
(iv.) Inside of $[0, \bar{t}] \times [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}]$, there are points, (t_i, r_i), along γ, where $i \in \{0, \cdots, 5\}$, $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4 \leq t_5 = \bar{t}$ and $0 = r_5 < r_4 \leq r_3 < r_2 < r_1 < r_0 < \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$ so that the image of γ, in $[0, \bar{t}] \times [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}]$, is contained entirely in the union of rectangles $\{\text{Rec}_i = [t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [r_{i+1}, r_i]\}_{i=0}^5 \cup (\{0\} \times [r_0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}))$.
(v.) Inside the rectangle Rec_4, the curve γ takes the form of the graph of a function over the r-axis which has **non-positive** second derivative.
(vi.) Inside Rec_3 and Rec_1, γ takes the form of a the straight-line.
(vii.) Inside Rec_2 and Rec_0, γ takes the form of the graph of a function over the r-axis which has **non-negative** second derivative.

A generic example of such a curve is depicted in the left hand image of Fig. 11. It is important to note, with regard to the rectangles Rec_i, that we allow for degenerate rectangles where one (or both) sides is a point. Thus, the vertical line segment $[0, \infty)$, beginning at $(0, 0)$

is also an admissible curve. Moreover, the right hand image of Fig. 11 also depicts an admissible curve. In this particular case, the curve γ takes the form, initially, of a torpedo curve. Recall this means that $t(s) = \eta_\delta(s)$, a δ-torpedo function for some $\delta > 0$, $r(s)$ is related to $t(s)$ in exactly the way α is related to β in (2.2.4), and s varies over some interval $[0, b]$. This particular type of admissible curve is called a *Gromov-Lawson curve*. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$, the subspace of $C^\infty([0,\infty), [0,\infty)\times[0,\infty))$, consisting of admissible curves. Moreover, the subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ which consists of Gromov-Lawson curves is denoted $\mathcal{A}_{GL}$.



FIGURE 11. An arbitrary admissible curve (left) and a Gromov-Lawson curve (right).

We now consider an admissible curve, $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, and a psc-metric, $g \in \mathcal{K}$. We specify a hypersurface, M_γ inside $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \times [0,\infty)$ as follows. Denoting by $((x, y), t)$, coordinates in $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \times [0,\infty)$ and recalling that r denotes the radial distance coordinate, we define M_γ to be the subspace defined

$$M_\gamma := \{((x, y), t) \in S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \times [0,\infty) : (t, r(y)) \in \gamma\}.$$

Suppose now that we equip the product manifold $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \times [0,\infty)$, with the metric $(\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}})^* g + dt^2$, where t is the coordinate in $[0,\infty)$. This space is of course isometric to $(N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}} \times [0,\infty), g|_{N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}})$, but has the advantage of remaining fixed for all g. From this ambient metric we obtain an induced Riemannian metric on M_γ. Henceforth, we will denote by $M_\gamma(g)$, the Riemannian manifold consisting of M_γ with this induced metric. Geometrically speaking, $M_\gamma(g)$ is obtained as follows. For each point $x \in S^p$, a geodesic sphere of radius r (with respect to the metric $(\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}})^* g$) is pushed out to lie in the slice $\{x\} \times D^{q+1}(r) \times \{t\}$ where $(t, r) \in \gamma$. This is described schematically in Fig. 12 below.

So far we see that for each metric $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the product metric $(\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}})^* g + dt^2$ on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \times [0,\infty)$ induces a Riemannian hyper-surface $M_\gamma(g)$. In each case, we wish to use the induced metric on $M_\gamma(g)$ to induce a new metric on X which "adjusts" g inside $N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$. Recall, this latter neighbourhood is a fixed neighbourhood specified using the reference metric m. Thus, in order to obtain a metric on X, we first identify the underlying hyper-surface M_γ with $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$ by defining a diffeomorphism $\psi_\gamma : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \to M_\gamma$. We will come to this in a moment. A further adjustment may still be necessary on this metric, something we will discuss in Part 3. For now however, assume that the adjustment induced by the curve γ is all we need and that we have used the diffeomorphism ψ_γ to bring the induced metric on $M_\gamma(g)$ back to $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$. We then return this newly adjusted



FIGURE 12. The curve γ (left), geodesic spheres on the fibres of the neighbourhood $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \cong N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$ (middle) and the hypersurface $M_\gamma(g)$ obtained by pushing out the geodesic spheres with respect to γ

metric to $N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$ via the diffeomorphism $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$. It will be clear from the adjustment construction that this metric extends smoothly as the original metric g over the rest of X. Finally, the diffeomorphism J_g, defined in Lemma 3.3.1, is used to pull this metric back to one where the adjustment region lies nicely in the neighbourhood $N_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}} \subset X$.

We now specify the diffeomorphism $\psi_\gamma : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \to M_\gamma$. Let $s_\gamma : [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}] \to [0, l_\gamma]$, where l_γ is the arc-length of γ, be a diffeomorphism satisfying the following properties; see Fig. 13.

(i.) $s_\gamma(r) = l_\gamma r$ when $r \in [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} - r_0]$.
(ii.) $s_\gamma(r) = l_\gamma - \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} + r$ when $r \in [\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{r_0}{2}, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}]$.

Finally, we define $\psi_\gamma(x, y)$ to be the point in M_γ with coordinates $((x, y), t)$ where $(r(y), t) = \gamma(s_\gamma(r(y)))$.



FIGURE 13. The diffeomorphism $s_\gamma : [0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}] \to [0, l_\gamma]$

This allows us to define a map

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)$$
$$(\gamma, g) \longmapsto g_\gamma,$$

where g_γ is the metric obtained by the above construction. More precisely, the metric g_γ is obtained by following the sequence of steps below.

(i.) Given (γ, g), induce a metric on the hypersurface $M_\gamma \subset S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}) \times [0,\infty)$ from the ambient metric $(\phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}})^* g + dt^2$. This Riemannian hypersuface is now denoted $M_\gamma(g)$.

(ii.) Next, pull this induced metric from $M_\gamma(g)$ back to $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$ by way of the diffeomorphism $\psi_\gamma : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}) \to M_\gamma$.

(iii.) Pull the resulting metric on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$ back to $N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$ via the diffeomorphism $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$.

(iv.) Extend this metric over the rest of X as the original metric g on $X \setminus N^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$.

(v.) Replace the resulting metric on X with the metric obtained as the pull-back of the self-diffeomorphism J_g, defined in Lemma 3.3.1. This final metric is the metric g_γ. Note that for all g, the part of the metric which is adjusted when g is replaced by g_γ, is now completely contained in the subset $N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}} \subset X$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *The map $\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)$ is continuous.*

Proof. On the region where adjustments take place, $N_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$, the resulting metric is obtained as the result of a composition of pullbacks via certain diffeomorphisms and the hypersurface construction with respect to the curve γ. The construction of the Riemannian hypersurface $M_\gamma(g)$ is easily seen to vary continuously with respect to g and γ. As l_γ, and hence s_γ, varies continuously with γ, it follows that the diffeomorphism ψ_γ depends continuously on γ and thus on the pair $(\gamma, g) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{K}$. The remaining diffeomorphisms are independent of γ and the fact that they vary continuously with respect to g follows from the fact that the exponential map arising from a Riemannian metric varies continuously, in the C^∞-topology, with respect to the metric. □

We denote by

$$\Gamma_{\gamma,-} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{R}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{-,g} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{R}(X),$$

the respective maps obtained by restriction to a specific curve, γ, in the first component or a specific metric, g, in the second. As $\mathcal{A}$ contains the vertical line curve, it is clear that for any $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the subspace $\mathcal{A}^+(g) = \Gamma^{-1}_{-,g}(\mathcal{R}^+(X)) \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a non-empty space. Consider now the subspace $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(g) = \mathcal{A}^+(g) \cap \mathcal{A}_{GL}$. A major part of the Gromov-Lawson Surgery Theorem in [11] can be re-expressed as follows.

Lemma 3.3.3. [11] *(Gromov-Lawson) For any $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the space $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(g)$ is a non-empty subspace of $\mathcal{A}$.*

In other words, for each psc-metric $g \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists a Gromov-Lawson curve, γ, so that the adjusted metric g_γ is a psc-metric. In Lemma 2.9 of [24] we go a little further.

Lemma 3.3.4. [24] *For any $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the space $\mathcal{A}^+(g)$ is a path-connected subspace of $\mathcal{A}$.*

To prove Lemma 3.3.4 we construct, for an arbitrary curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^+(g)$, a continuous deformation back to the vertical curve. Generically, such a curve takes the form of the graph of a function over the r-axis. The obvious linear homotopy between this function and the constant 0 function, which diminishes the magnitude of first and second derivatives, provides such a deformation. In the case when a curve does not take the form of such a graph, when it is a Gromov-Lawson curve say, an arbitrarily small tilt of the horizontal piece can be performed without upsetting positivity of the scalar curvature. Alternatively, one may simply shrink the neck of the torpedo curve down to an infinitesimal neck and perform a linear homotopy of the remaining curve to the vertical line, as demonstrated in Fig. 14.

Corollary 3.3.5. *Let $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and let $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^+(g)$. Then there is an isotopy through psc-metrics connecting g and g_γ.*



FIGURE 14. The homotopy of the curve γ which induces an isotopy from g_γ to g

In Theorem 2.13 of [24], we prove something stronger. That is, we show that there exists a curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_{GL}$, a Gromov-Lawson curve, so that for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the metric g_γ has positive scalar curvature. Furthermore, the deformation described above, which brings the curve back to the vertical line, induces positive scalar curvature isotopies from g_γ back to g for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$. This is possible because $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact family and the various quantities in the curvature formulae which we bound in the case of a single metric may still be bounded in the case of a compact family of metrics. Phrased in the language above, this can be stated as the following lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})$ be the subspaces of $\mathcal{A}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K}) &:= \bigcap_{g\in\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}^+(g), \\ \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}) &:= \bigcap_{g\in\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(g). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.1}$$

Thus we have $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.3.6. [24] *The space $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})$ is a non-empty subspace of $\mathcal{A}$, while the space $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})$ is path connected.*

Corollary 3.3.7. *There exists a Gromov-Lawson curve γ so that the image of the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\gamma,-} : \mathcal{K} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(X) \\ g &\longmapsto g_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

lies entirely in $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Furthermore, this map is homotopy equivalent, through maps into $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$, to the inclusion $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$.

Part 3: A further adjustment. At this point, it may help the reader to reconsider the situation described in Fig. 9. We begin with a compact family of psc-metrics $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Using the family of embeddings $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_\mathcal{K}}$, where $g \in \mathcal{K}$, we pull-back all metrics in the family to obtain a compact family of (restricted) metrics on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$. Using Corollary 3.3.7, we choose a suitable Gromov-Lawson curve, γ, and construct a new family of psc-metrics on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_\mathcal{K})$ using the method described in Part 2. Importantly, this adjustment is trivial near the boundary. We wish to make the metrics in this family standard in a neighbourhood of $S^p \times \{0\}$. More precisely, we want that for some quantity $r_{\text{std}} \in (0, \bar{r}_\mathcal{K}]$, each metric takes

the form $ds_p^2 + g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)$ on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(r_{\text{std}})$. One obvious problem is that a metric $g \in \mathcal{K}$ (and the resulting metric g_γ) may not be a product metric. To remedy this we need to make use of the fact that, on fibres, these metrics look increasingly "torpedo-like" as one moves nearer to $S^p \times \{0\}$.

In particular, by compactness and the construction of γ, there is a constant $r'_{\mathcal{K}} \in (0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$ so that the restriction of any metric $g_\gamma \in \Gamma_{\gamma,-}(\mathcal{K})$, to $S^p \times D^{q+1}(r'_{\mathcal{K}})$, has the property of being close, in the C^2-topology, to a Riemannian submersion with fibre metric $g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)$. More precisely, by choosing $\bar{r}'_{\mathcal{K}}$ sufficiently small, we can make this restriction metric as close we wish to such a submersion metric. Note that it is sufficient to only consider the C^2-topology as the curvature formulae only involve first and second derivatives of the metric. Hence, metrics which are C^2 close have curvature functions which are close. Working entirely inside $S^p \times D^{q+1}(r'_{\mathcal{K}})$, we may adjust by linear homotopy near $S^p \times \{0\}$ (with some appropriate tapering away from $S^p \times \{0\}$), the family $g_\gamma \in \Gamma_{\gamma,-}(\mathcal{K})$ so that each metric takes the form of a Riemannian submersion near $S^p \times \{0\}$, with base metric $g|_{S^p}$ and fibre metric $g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)$. Using the formulae of O'Neill, it is shown that the positivity of the curvature on the disk factor allows us to isotopy through psc-submersion metrics to obtain the desired metric g_{std}. Once again, such an adjustment takes the form of a (possibly long) linear homotopy of metrics near $S^p \times \{0\}$.

The result is a family of psc-metrics on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$, each of which is standard on a subregion $S^p \times D^{q+1}(r)$ for some $r \in (0, \bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$. By compactness, we may fix a quantity $r_{\text{std}} > 0$, so that for each g in the resulting family, g takes the appropriate standard form $ds_p^2 + g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)$ on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(r_{\text{std}})$. We now use the family of diffeomorphisms $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$, where $g \in \mathcal{K}$, to pull back this standardised family of restriction metrics to the family of neighborhoods $N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$. As each metric has been untouched near the boundary, it extends smoothly in its original form over the rest of X. Finally, we pull back this family, via the family of diffeomorphisms $J_g, g \in \mathcal{K}$, to a family of psc-metrics on X which is the image of $\mathcal{K}$ under the map $\text{std}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Remark 3.3.8. It is worth noting that the method used above in Part 3, making use of Riemannian submersions and the formulae of O'Neill, is based on the one used by Gromov and Lawson in their original construction in [11]; although in that case the fibre is a sphere. Chernysh, in Theorem 2.6 of [5] does this in a more direct way, without reference to Riemannian submersions or O'Neill's formulae.

Part 4: Completing the proof. The map $\text{std}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, is defined for each $g \in \mathcal{K}$, as the result of the constructions outlined in parts two and three. Part (i) of Theorem 3.2.1 is satisfied by construction. Furthermore, the isotopies described in parts two and three may be composed to prove part (ii). It is worth concluding with the following remarks concerning the choice of orthonormal frame in determining, for each metric g, the diffeomorphism $\phi^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}} : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}) \to N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}} \subset X$. The Gromov-Lawson construction contains a great deal of symmetry. In particular, in Lemma 2.10 of [25], we show that, for each $g \in \mathcal{K}$, the metric obtained on $N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$, having performed the adjustments of parts two and three, is the same (not just up to isometry), regardless of the choice of orthonormal frame. In the situation illustrated in Fig. 9, one can think of this as taking the metric g (restricted to $N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$), rotating it to bring it to $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}})$, performing adjustments which are rotationally symmetric, then undoing the rotation by bringing the metric back to $N^g_{\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}}$. The diffeomorphism J_g does depend on a choice of orthonormal frame, but as we only use it on metrics which are already

standard near $S^p \times \{0\}$ (and so $O(p+1) \times O(q+1)$ symmetric here), the choice of frame is of no real consequence. This completes our summary of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.

4. Revisiting Chernysh's Theorem

In proving our main result, we will make great use of the methods used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.1. This theorem was originally proved by Chernysh in [5] but remains unpublished. Later, in [26], we provided a shorter version based on the work done in [24], in particular Theorem 3.2.1 above. It has been suggested that the proof in [26] is too terse and that one important definition, that of the space of "almost standard metrics" is too vague. Given the importance of the theorem in this work, and in the literature more generally, we will provide the following detailed account of the proof which addresses these criticisms.

4.1. **Standard metrics.** We assume throughout that X, m, $\phi_\rho : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho) \to X$ where $\dim X = n = p+q+1$ and $\rho \in [0, \bar{r}]$ with $\bar{r}$ less than the injectivity radius of m, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}}$ are as they were in the previous section. We also add that both p and q are at least two. We will be interested in certain subspaces of $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ where metrics are standard near the embedded sphere S^p. More precisely, for each $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$, we define the subspace $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ as

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) = \{\ g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X) : \phi_\rho^* g = ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta) \ \text{ for some } \delta > 0\ \}.$$

It is worth recalling precisely what it means to say that, on the disk fibre $D^{q+1}(\rho)$, $\phi_\rho^*(g)$ takes the form $g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$. Recall that, strictly speaking, $g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$ is a collection of torpedo metrics of radius δ, with varying neck lengths. Thus, we mean to say that, for some $b > 0$, there is a δ-torpedo function $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$, so that $\phi_\rho^*(g)|_{D^{q+1}(\rho)}$ pulls back via rescaling, to the metric $dr^2 + \eta_\delta^2(r) ds_q^2$ on the disk $D^{q+1}(b)$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$, the subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$ defined

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) = \{\ g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X) : \phi_\rho^* g = ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)\ \}.$$

More generally, we define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$ as

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) = \bigcup_{0<\rho\leq\bar{r}} \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X).$$

Thus, we have the following sequence of inclusions.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X), \tag{4.1.1}$$

where $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$. We note that for any compact family $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, the map $\mathrm{std}_{\mathcal{K}}$ defined in Theorem 3.2.1 may now be thought of as a map

$$\mathrm{std}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

Eventually, we will need to show that each of the inclusions in (4.1.1) is a weak homotopy equivalence. Firstly, however, recall that X' is the manifold obtained from X by performing surgery on ϕ. After specifying a reference metric on X', we may define corresponding neighbourhoods $N'_{\rho'}$ and spaces $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$, $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho')}(X')$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X')$, of metrics which are

standard near the complementary surgery sphere $S^q \hookrightarrow X'$. Here, the quantity $\rho' \in (0, \bar{r}']$ where $\bar{r}'$ is a reference radius for X', is analogous to $\bar{r}$. We now consider the map

$$\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$$
$$g \longmapsto g',$$

where the metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ is replaced by the metric g', obtained by removing $(N_\rho, ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1))$ and attaching the handle metric $(N'_{\rho'}, g^{p+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1) + ds^2_q)$. This is depicted in Fig. 15. The map $\mathfrak{h}$ has an obvious inverse which reverses this handle attachment. In other words, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1.1. *The map $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \to \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$ is a homeomorphism.*



FIGURE 15. Corresponding elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$

We now return to the sequence of inclusions, (4.1.1). In order to prove Theorem 1.2.1, it is enough to prove that each of these inclusions is a weak homotopy equivalence. It will be important here that $q \geq 2$. The corresponding argument for X' works exactly the same, making use of the fact now that $p \geq 2$. Combining this with Lemma 4.1.1 then gives us Theorem 1.2.1, at least in the case of weak homotopy equivalence. To obtain homotopy equivalence, one makes use of a result of Palais, in [19], in which the author shows that these spaces are dominated by CW complexes. Homotopy equivalence then follows by a well-known theorem of Whitehead.

Showing weak homotopy equivalence for the first two inclusions in (4.1.1) is reasonably straightforward and we will take care of this below, beginning with the second inclusion. The third inclusion turns out to be more challenging and will require us to define a further intermediary space which we call the space of "almost standard" metrics. We will return to this point shortly.

Lemma 4.1.2. *For any $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$, the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This is proved by showing that $\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X), \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)) = 0$ for all k. Recall that a typical element of $\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X), \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X))$ is represented by a map of pairs

$$(D^k, S^{k-1}) \to (\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X), \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)).$$

We must show that for any such map, there is a homotopy through such maps of pairs to one which sends D^k into $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$. We will do this as follows. Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact family of metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$. We will construct a homotopy of the inclusion map $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$

which moves $\mathcal{K}$ into the subspace $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(X)$. Importantly, this homotopy will leave elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(X)$ inside that space.

Recall that an element $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ has the property that for some $\rho' \in (0, \bar{r}]$, $\phi^*_{\rho'}(g) = ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$. Importantly, ρ' may be less than ρ and so this element may not lie in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(X)$. As $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact subspace, there is a minimum quantity $\rho' \in (0, \rho)$, and thus a neighbourhood $N_{\rho'}$, for which all metrics in $\mathcal{K}$ take a standard form. With this in mind, our homotopy will consist of two simultaneous adjustments. On the one hand, we perform a continuous extension of the necks of all torpedo metrics on the region $N_{\rho'}$ extending all necks by a distance of $\rho - \rho'$. We denote this isotopy on each element g in $\mathcal{K}$, by g_λ, where $\lambda \in I$ and where $g_0 = g$ and where g_1 is the metric whose torpedo necks have been fully stretched. In turn, we denote by $\mathcal{K}_\lambda$, the effect of this isotopy family $\mathcal{K}$. This of course only effects metrics in the neighbourhood $N_{\rho'}$ and so, on its own, does not solve the problem of metrics being non-standard in the annular region $N_\rho \setminus N_{\rho'}$. Thus, we specify a family of diffeomorphisms $\tau_\lambda, \lambda \in I$, on X which have the following properties.

(i.) The diffeomorphism τ_0 is the identity map on X.
(ii.) Each diffeomorphisms τ_λ restricts as the identity map on $X \setminus N_{\bar{r}}$.
(iii.) The restriction $\tau_\lambda|_{N_{\bar{r}}}$ is the identity map near the boundary $\partial N_{\bar{r}}$.
(iv.) The map $\phi^{-1}_{\bar{r}} \circ \tau_\lambda \circ \phi_{\bar{r}} : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}) \to S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r})$ restricts on the annular region $S^p \times \text{ann}^{q+1}(\rho', \rho)$ to a diffeomorphism

$$S^p \times \text{ann}^{q+1}(\rho', \rho) \to S^p \times \text{ann}^{q+1}(\rho' - r_0(\lambda), \rho - r_1(\lambda)),$$

defined by $(x, (\theta, r)) \mapsto (x, (\theta, \tau(r)))$. Here, $\text{ann}^{q+1}(\rho', \rho)$ denotes the Euclidean annulus $D^{q+1}(\rho) \setminus D^{q+1}(\rho')$. The function τ is a smooth rescaling function. Importantly, it has the effect that the functions r_0 and r_1 are smooth increasing functions with $r_0(0) = 0$, $r_1(0) = 0$, $r_1(1) = \rho - \rho'$ and $r_0(1)$ chosen so that the restriction of any metric $g_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$ to $S^p \times \text{ann}^{q+1}(\rho' - r_0(\lambda), \rho - r_1(\lambda))$ is, on fibres, precisely a cylindrical torpedo neck of length $\rho - \rho'$.

Finally we replace each metric g_λ in $\mathcal{K}_\lambda$, with the metric $\tau^*_\lambda(g_\lambda)$. Retaining the name g_λ for this metric, we point out that this isotopy has the effect of simultaneously stretching the neck of the torpedo fibre on g whilst "sliding" the annular neighbourhood $N_\rho \setminus N_{\rho'}$ into the standard region. The original metric is not damaged in anyway. We simply "slide" it out of N_ρ. This is depicted in Fig. 16 in the simple case when S^p is replaced by a point. The more general case is completely analogous. □



FIGURE 16. Stretching the neck of the torpedo to adjust the metric g in the annular region $N_\rho \setminus N_{\rho'}$

Lemma 4.1.3. *For any $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$, the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Again, it is enough to show that any compact family, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}$, can be continuously deformed in the space $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}$ to a family, $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$, in such a way as to not move elements of $\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ out of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$. To prove this we need the following elementary fact. Suppose $g^n_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$ is a torpedo metric on the disk D^n. Then there is an isotopy between $g^n_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$, through psc-metrics on D^n which agree with $g^n_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$ near ∂D^n but which take the form $g^n_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)$ on some sub-disk $D^n(\rho)$. We will not go into detail here as this kind of adjustment will be done in great detail over the next few sections. We will simply say that this is achieved by adjusting the torpedo function η_δ as suggested in Fig. 17 below, via an appropriate cut-off function (with small first and second derivatives) and a linear homotopy.



FIGURE 17. Adjusting the torpedo function η_δ to agree with η_1 near 0

Provided the first and second derivatives are kept sufficiently small (by dragging out the adjustment over a sufficiently long interval), positivity of the scalar curvature is maintained. As we are only combining torpedo functions by way of a cut-off function or a linear homotopy, in the case when $\delta = 1$ the radius of the torpedo does not change. In the case of a compact family $\mathcal{K}$, there is a minimum radius $\delta_{\min}$ for torpedo metrics and so a single cut-off function can be chosen to work for the whole family. □

At this stage it is clear that to prove Theorem 1.2.1, it suffices to show that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. As before, we would like to show that the homotopy groups $\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(X), \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X))$ are trivial for all k. This seems likely given Theorem 3.2.1. The problem, as we discussed in the introduction, is that the isotopy described in that theorem may temporarily move already standard metrics out of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$. Fortunately, for a metric which is already standard, the damage done to it by applying the Gromov-Lawson construction is not severe. Thus, we get around this problem by defining an intermediary space of all metrics which are reachable by any stage of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy applied finitely many times to metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X)$. This is the space of *almost standard metrics* is denoted $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$, and is included as follows.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

We will show that metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$ remain there throughout the isotopy described in Theorem 3.2.1 and that, therefore, the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. We will then need to show that the latter inclusion,

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X),$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence also. Defining the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$ will take a little work and is the main topic of the next two sections. As usual we will first define a space, $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$, of metrics which are "almost standard" on a neighbourhood N_ρ. The space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$ will then be defined by taking the union over $\rho \in [0, \bar{r}]$.

4.2. **Metrics which are rotationally symmetric near the surgery sphere.** In this section, we introduce a certain subspace of the space of psc-metrics on X which which are symmetric on $N_\rho \cong S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$ with respect to the obvious action of $O(p+1) \times O(q+1)$. Essentially, we will fix the standard round metric on the S^p factor while allowing the metric on the $D^{q+1}(\rho)$ factor to be a psc-metric which is $O(q+1)$-symmetric. In the next section we will specify the space of almost standard metrics as a particular subspace of this space. Such rotationally symmetric metrics have a nice property. They can all be represented, on N_ρ, as warped product metrics. This means that later on, when it comes to making rotationally symmetric adjustments to these metrics on N_ρ, we will be able to work at the level of the warping functions.

Recall that in (2.2.1), we defined for each $b > 0$, a space $\mathcal{B}_b$ consisting of smooth functions $\beta : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$ which induce a smooth warped product metric, $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_q$, on the disk D^{q+1}. It is worth recalling that such a function, β, satisfies the following conditions.

$$\beta(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\beta}(0) = 1, \quad \beta^{(even)}(0) = 0, \quad \beta((0, b]) \subset (0, \infty).$$

Moreover, we defined $\mathcal{B}$ to be the union, over $b > 0$, of all of these spaces. The space $\mathcal{B}$ was topologised by pulling back the topology of $C^\infty([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ via the obvious rescaling map. Finally, we considered subspaces $\mathcal{B}^+_b \subset \mathcal{B}_b$ and $\mathcal{B}^+ \subset \mathcal{B}$, consisting of warping functions which induce warped product metrics of positive scalar curvature. It is worth recalling that the scalar curvature, R_β, of such a warped product metric, $dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1}$, is given by the formula

$$R_\beta(r, \theta) = -2(n-1)\frac{\ddot{\beta}(r)}{\beta(r)} + (n-1)(n-2)\frac{1 - \dot{\beta}(r)^2}{\beta(r)^2}.$$

For any point t_0 in the domain of $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the ∞-jet of β at t_0 denoted $j^\infty_{t_0}(\beta)$, is the sequence

$$j^\infty_{t_0}(\beta) := (\beta(t_0), \dot{\beta}(t_0), \ddot{\beta}(t_0) \cdots),$$

of derivatives of β at the point t_0. We can think of $j^\infty_{t_0}(\beta)$ as an element of the set $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, of sequences $\bar{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ in $\mathbb{R}$. This sequence set admits the structure of a metric space with metric, $\bar{D}$, defined as follows. Letting $\bar{d}(a, b) = \min\{|a - b|, 1\}$ denote the standard bounded metric on $\mathbb{R}$, we define, for any pair $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of elements of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\bar{D}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \sup\left\{\frac{\bar{d}(x_i, y_i)}{i}\right\}.$$

The topology induced by this metric is, incidentally, the usual product topology on a countable product of copies of $\mathbb{R}$; see Theorem 20.5 of [18]. We now have a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} j^\infty_{\mathrm{end}} : \mathcal{B} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \\ \omega &\longmapsto j^\infty_b(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

where, in each case, the quantity b is of course the endpoint of the domain of the relevant function ω.

Proposition 4.2.1. *The map j^{∞}_{end} is continuous.*

Proof. Suppose $\omega_k : [0, b_k] \to [0, b_k]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a sequence of smooth functions in $\mathcal{W}$ which converges to a function $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, b]$. Given the topology we have defined on $\mathcal{W}$, this means that the corresponding sequence of rescaled functions $\omega_{1,k} : [0, 1] \to [0, b_k]$ converges in $C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{W}}([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ to the function ω_1. Hence the sequence of jets $j^{\infty}_1(\omega_{1,k})$ converges in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ to $j^{\infty}_1(\omega_1)$. Now, for each k, $j^{\infty}_1(\omega_{1,k}) = (\omega(b_k), b_k\dot{\omega}(b_k), b_k^2\ddot{\omega}(b_k) \cdots)$. Thus we see that, for each n, the sequence of n^{th} derivatives $b_k^n\omega_k^{(n)}(b_k)$ converges to $b^n\omega^{(n)}(b)$. As $b > 0$, there is a quantity $b_{\text{inf}} > 0$ so that $b_k \geq b_{\text{inf}}$ for all k. It is now an elementary exercise to show that $\omega_k^n(b_k) \to \omega^n(b)$ for each n. □

Notice that the image under this map, of any torpedo function $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$, is the sequence $(\delta, 0, 0, \cdots)$. More generally, a point t_0 in the domain of $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, which satisfies the condition that

$$j^{\infty}_{t_0}(\beta) = (\beta(t_0), 0, 0, 0, \cdots),$$

is known as a *horizontal point of* β. Such points are extremely useful when it comes to altering functions by means of a horizontal stretch, something we will make use of later on.

As a prelude to defining $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$, we define the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) := \{g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X) : \phi_\rho^* g = ds_p^2 + h \quad \text{on} \quad S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)\},$$

where, as above, h is an $O(q + 1)$-symmetric metric on $D^{q+1}(\rho)$. It is easy to see that we now have the following sequence of inclusions.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

The reader should view $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ as a place-holder for $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$. In the next section, the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ will be defined as a subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$, the pre-image of a certain subspace of $\mathcal{B}^+$ under a map warp_ρ. This map, determined by Proposition 2.3.1, is defined below as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{warp}_\rho : \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^+ \\ g &\longmapsto \omega_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_g : [0, b_g] \to [0, \infty)$ is the unique warping function computed in Proposition 2.3.1, determined by the restriction of $\phi^* g$ to the disk factor $D^{q+1}(\rho)$. Moreover, b_g is the radius of the disk $D^{q+1}(\rho)$ under this restriction metric.

Proposition 4.2.2. *The map* warp_ρ *is continuous and surjective.*

Proof. Continuity is obvious from the construction in Proposition 2.3.1. With regards to surjectivity, the idea here is pretty straightforward and so we will be brief. Suppose, for some $\rho' > \rho$, we specify an annular neighbourhood $N_{\rho'} \setminus N_\rho$. The restriction of the map $\phi_{\rho'}$ to this neighbourhood determines a map

$$S^p \times \text{ann}(\rho, \rho') \longrightarrow N_{\rho'} \setminus N_\rho,$$

parameterising $N_{\rho'} \setminus N_\rho$ as a collar for the manifold with boundary $X \setminus N_\rho$. Consider now the inner part of the collar $N_{\rho'} \setminus N_{\frac{\rho+\rho'}{2}}$. We equip this part of the collar with the standard product metric $ds_p^2 + dr^2 + ds_q^2$ and consider the space of all psc-metrics on $X \setminus N_{\frac{\rho+\rho'}{2}}$ which extend this product. This is clearly a non-empty space.

Suppose now that the map $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$ is an element of the space $\mathcal{B}^+$. If the ∞-jet of this map at b satisfies $j_b^\infty(\omega) = (1, 0, 0, \cdots)$, it then follows that the induced product metric $ds_p^2 + dr^2 + \omega(r)^2 ds_q^2$ pulls back via ϕ_r to a metric which extends on $N_{\rho'} \setminus N_\rho$ as the standard product described above and thus over the rest of X. If on the other hand $j_b^\infty(\omega) \neq (1, 0, 0, \cdots)$, then, for some $b' > b$, we extend $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$, by means of a cut-off function, to a function $\omega' : [0, b'] \to [0, \infty)$ so that $j_r^\infty(\omega') = (1, 0, 0, \cdots)$ for all $r \in [\frac{b+b'}{2}, b']$. The corresponding product metric $ds_p^2 + dr^2 + \omega'(r)^2 ds_q^2$ can then be pulled back so that the restriction of this metric along the interval $[\frac{b+b'}{2}, b']$, matches with the neighbourhood $N_{\rho'} \setminus N_{\frac{\rho+\rho'}{2}}$ and so the metric extends smoothly. Note that the quantity b' may need to be chosen much larger than b so that the first and second derivatives of the extended function are not too large as to upset positivity of the scalar curvature. $\square$

For any $\omega \in \mathcal{B}^+$, notice that a metric $g \in \mathrm{warp}_\rho^{-1}(\omega)$ decomposes as

$$g = g|_{X \setminus N_\rho} \cup g|_{N_\rho},$$

where $g|_{N_\rho}$ pulls back to $ds_p^2 + dr^2 + \omega(r)^2 ds_q^2$. The restriction of g to the boundaries $\partial(X \setminus N_\rho)$ and ∂N_ρ is isometric to $ds_p^2 + \omega(b)^2 ds_q^2$ while the information which allows us to glue these two pieces smoothly together is $j_b^\infty(\omega)$. In particular, if $\omega' : [0, b'] \to [0, b']$ is another function in the image of warp_ρ and satisfies

$$j_b^\infty(\omega) = j_{b'}^\infty(\omega'),$$

then the metric $ds_p^2 + dr^2 + \omega'(r)^2 ds_q^2$ pulls back to a metric $g'|_{N_\rho}$ which gives rise to a smooth metric

$$g' = g|_{X \setminus N_\rho} \cup g'|_{N_\rho},$$

another element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$, obtained by the obvious gluing. It is important here that b and b' need not be the same. This gives us a way of adjusting metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ by adjusting warping functions (without altering the ∞-jet at the end point).

Importantly, we can say the following. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ and $\omega_g = \mathrm{warp}_\rho(g) \in \mathcal{B}^+$. Suppose now that we have a path

$$\mathfrak{p} : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{W},$$

mapping $\lambda \mapsto \omega(\lambda)$ and starting at $\omega(0) = \omega_g$. Suppose also that, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the following condition is satisfied by the function $\omega(\lambda) : [0, b(\lambda)] \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$.

$$j^\infty_{b(\lambda)}(\omega(\lambda)) = j^\infty_{b_g}(\omega_g).$$

The following lemma for lifting such a path of warping functions back up to a path of metrics is immediate.

Lemma 4.2.3. *There is a corresponding path $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$, mapping $\lambda \mapsto g(\lambda)$ so that the following diagram commutes, i.e. $\mathrm{warp}_\rho(g(\lambda)) = \omega(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) \\ & \overset{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow \mathrm{warp}_\rho \\ [0,1] & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}} & \mathcal{B}^+ \end{array}$$

This lift works because the construction only affects metrics in the region N_ρ and has no effect on metrics in the region $X \setminus N_\rho$. In particular, for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$g(\lambda)|_{X\setminus N_\rho} = g|_{X\setminus N_\rho}.$$

More generally, we see that if $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ is a compact family of psc-metrics, any continuous deformation of the corresponding compact family $\mathrm{warp}_\rho(\mathcal{K})$ in $\mathcal{B}^+$ which fixes the jets at end points of functions, lifts to a continuous deformation of the family $\mathcal{K}$.

Incidentally, we can also say the following. We define the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X \setminus N_\rho)_{\bar{j}}$, as the space of all psc-metrics on $X \setminus N_\rho$ which extend smoothly to a metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ so that

$$j^\infty_{\mathrm{end}}(\mathrm{warp}_\rho(g)) = \bar{j}.$$

We now have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.4. *Suppose $\omega, \omega' \in \mathcal{B}^+$ satisfy $j^\infty_{\mathrm{end}}(\omega) = j^\infty_{\mathrm{end}}(\omega') = \bar{j}$. Then the spaces $\mathrm{warp}^{-1}(\omega)$ and $\mathrm{warp}^{-1}(\omega')$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the fact that metrics in each space decompose as an element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X \setminus N_\rho)_{\bar{j}}$ glued to either the pull back of $ds^2_p + dr^2 + \omega(r)^2 ds^2_q$ or $ds^2_p + dr^2 + \omega'(r)^2 ds^2_q$. □

4.3. **Almost standard metrics.** We will begin by defining the space of almost standard metrics before spending the remainder of this section motivating the definition. In the previous section we defined the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$ of psc-metrics on X which pull back via ϕ_ρ to metrics of the form $ds^2_p + h$ on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$, where h is an $O(q+1)$-symmetric metric on the disk $D^{q+1}(\rho)$. Moreover, we used Proposition 2.3.1 to determine a continuous surjective map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{warp}_\rho : \mathcal{R}_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^+ \\ g &\longmapsto \omega_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_g : [0, b_g] \to [0, \infty)$ is the unique warping function determined by the restriction of $\phi^* g$ to the disk factor $D^{q+1}(\rho)$. We wish to specify a subspace $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{B}^+$, the pre-image of which under warp_ρ, will be the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$, of psc-metrics which are almost standard on N_ρ.

For each $b > 0$, we specify a subspace $\mathcal{W}_b \subset \mathcal{B}^+_b$. The space $\mathcal{W}_b$ is defined to be the space of smooth functions

$$\omega : [0, b] \longrightarrow [0, b],$$

where $\omega \in \mathcal{B}^+_b$ and satisfies

(i.) $\dot{\omega}(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [0, b]$.
(ii.) $\ddot{\omega}(r) < 0$ near but not at $r = 0$.
(iii) The point b is a horizontal point of ω.

We now denote by $\mathcal{W}$, the space

$$\mathcal{W} := \bigcup_{b>0} \mathcal{W}_b,$$

and equip it with the subspace topology induced by $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{B}^+$.

The space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ is now defined as the pre-image under warp_ρ of the subspace $\mathcal{W}$ in $\mathcal{B}^+$. In other words

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X) := \mathrm{warp}_\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X).$$

Recall from section 2, we defined the subspace $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{torp}} \subset \mathcal{B}^+$, consisting of torpedo functions. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{torp}} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and that, by definition,

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) = \mathrm{warp}_\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{torp}}) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X).$$

We now have the following commutative diagram, where hooked arrows denote inclusion.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{warp}_\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{warp}_\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{warp}_\rho} \\
\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{torp}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{W} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{B}^+
\end{array}$$

Finally, we define the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1)}(X) := \bigcup_{\rho \in (0,\bar{r}]} \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X).$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X) := \bigcup_{\rho \in (0,\bar{r}]} \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X).$$

Thus, we have with the sequence of inclusions below.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

To help motivate the definition of the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$, we consider the effect of applying the Gromov-Lawson isotopy on a metric which lies in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$. This will help us see what sort of properties almost standard metrics should have. We begin with an arbitrary metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$ for some $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$. Thus, on the neighbourhood N_ρ, the metric $g|_{N_\rho}$ pulls back to the metric

$$\phi_\rho^* g = ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta),$$

on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$ for some $\delta > 0$. In turn, for some $b > 0$, this metric pulls back via arc-length rescaling to

$$ds_p^2 + dr^2 + \eta_\delta(r)^2 ds_q^2,$$

on the product $S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)$. Recall here that $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$ is a torpedo function of radius δ and that b is the radius of the disk $D^{q+1}(\rho)$ under the metric $\phi_\rho^* g|_{D^{q+1}(\rho)}$.

Consider now the effect of applying the Gromov-Lawson isotopy, from Theorem 3.2.1, on the metric g. The first point to consider is the region on which the adjustment takes place. Specifically, we want to adjust the metric g only inside the neighbourhood N_ρ. This will be very important. Recall that this isotopy is constructed by composing isotopies from Part 2 and Part 3 of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. Recall also that the entire isotopy maintains the $O(p+1) \times O(q+1)$ symmetry of the metric on N_ρ. In particular, provided we make adjustments only inside N_ρ, we can ignore Part 3 as this has no effect on metrics which are already standard. Furthermore, Part 2 has no effect on the S^p factor and only adjusts metrics on the fibre disks. Indeed, at this stage we can be sure that the construction does not move metrics out of the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$.

Let us focus for a moment on the construction on fibre disks. We used a so-called admissible curve γ to obtain a Riemannian hypersurface $M_\gamma(g)$ inside $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}) \times [0,\infty)$, where the ambient space was equipped with the metric $\phi_{\bar{r}}^* g + dt^2$. We then replaced $g|_{N_{\bar{r}}}$ with a metric which was pulled back to $N_{\bar{r}}$ from the induced metric on this hypersurface. Importantly, these admissible curves were designed to run vertically near the point $(0,\bar{r})$; recall Fig. 11. This allowed for smooth transition between the induced metric on $M_\gamma(g)$ and the original metric g, near the boundary of $N_{\bar{r}}$. More precisely, we specified a point r_0, where $0 < r_0 < \bar{r}$, and insisted that γ run vertically above the line $r = r_0$. Moreover, r_0 marked the beginning of the first bend and hence the beginning of the adjustment region. In our case we will be considering a subregion $N_\rho \subset N_{\bar{r}}$, where ρ may be less than $\bar{r}$. As we wish to avoid interfering with the metric g outside of, or at the boundary of, N_ρ, it is important for us that $r_0 < \rho$. With this in mind, we make some elementary observations.

Recall that X, m, ϕ, $\bar{r}$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\bar{r}_{\mathcal{K}} \in (0,\bar{r})$ are, throughout, as in Theorem 3.2.1. Suppose now that $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})$ is a Gromov-Lawson curve inducing psc-metrics for all elements of $\mathcal{K}$ and suppose $r_0(\gamma) \in (0,\bar{r})$ marks the beginning of the first bend of γ. The following lemma is an easy consequence of the work done in Part 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1.

Lemma 4.3.1. *For any positive $r_0' < r_0(\gamma)$, there is a Gromov-Lawson curve $\gamma' \in \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})$ so that $r_0' = r_0(\gamma')$ marks the first bend of γ'.*

We can say a little more. For some $\bar{r}_0 \in (0,\bar{r})$, suppose $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$ denotes the space of all admissible curves (inducing psc-metrics), which satisfy the following condition. An admissible curve γ is an element of $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$ if, for some positive quantity $r_0 \leq \bar{r}_0$, γ is a vertical line segment above the line $r = r_0$. In other words, the first bend of γ occurs on or below the line $r = \bar{r}_0$. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$, the corresponding subspace of Gromov-Lawson curves. Thus

$$\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0} = \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}) \cap \mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}.$$

Once again, the following lemma is immediate from the work done in Part 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.2.1 following in turn from work done in [24].

Lemma 4.3.2. *The space $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$ is a non-empty subspace of $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$, while $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{r}_0}$ is path-connected.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the homotopy that returns an admissible curve to the vertical line (shown in Fig. 14) has no effect on the vertical line segment above the line $r = r_0$. □

The consequence of these observations is the following. Suppose $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ is a compact family of psc-metrics. Each metric $g \in \mathcal{K}$ lies in a space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho_g)}(X)$ for some $\rho_g > 0$. By compactness, there is some $\rho_{\min} > 0$ so that $\rho_{\min} \leq \rho_g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus, on the neighbourhood $N_{\rho_{\min}}$, each metric $g \in \mathcal{K}$ restricts as part of its standard region. We can now find a quantity $r_0^{\mathcal{K}} > 0$ sufficiently small and a Gromov-Lawson curve $\gamma^{\mathcal{K}}$ with first bend occurring at the line $r = r_0^{\mathcal{K}}$, so that all adjustments occurring from Part 2 of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy in Theorem 3.2.1 occur inside the region $N_{\rho_{\min}}$. We will make use of this fact shortly.

Let us return to the case of the single metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$. At this stage, we have established that we can apply the Gromov-Lawson isotopy to the metric, only making

adjustments inside N_ρ. Moreover, the entire process respects the product structure of this metric on N_ρ and fixes the metric factor on S^p. Indeed, we can say that at every stage in the isotopy, the metric on N_ρ pulls back via ϕ_ρ to a metric on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$ which takes the form

$$ds_p^2 + h,$$

where h is an $O(q+1)$-symmetric metric on $D^{q+1}(\rho)$. This suggests that, in defining the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$, we are right to insist that all metrics therein take this form. In other words, we are right to define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$. As the reader now knows, we specify this subspace by imposing some conditions on the metric factor, h, on the disk $D^{q+1}(\rho)$ above. In turn this is done with the aid of the map, $\mathrm{warp}_\rho : \mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X) \to \mathcal{B}^+$. Recall, this map assigns to each g (by assigning to each disk factor metric h), a warping function in $\mathcal{B}^+$. Hence, we define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ as the pre-image under this map of a subspace $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{B}^+$. We will motivate the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ shortly.

We return to Part 2 of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy described in Theorem 3.2.1, on the metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(X)$. We established that $\phi_\rho^* g = ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$ on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$. We will consider an arbitrary stage of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy on g. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(g)$ be an admissible curve, the first bend of which occurs on the line $r = r_0$ with $r_0 < \rho$. We wish to compute a formula for the metric g_γ, obtained on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$, by pulling back the induced metric on the hypersurface $M_\gamma(g)$ contained in $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho) \times [0,\infty)$ by way of the diffeomorphism ψ_γ. To make the computation reasonable we make the following coordinate change. Letting b denote the radius of $D^{q+1}(\rho)$ under $g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$, we rewrite $g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)$ as $dr^2 + \eta_\delta(r)^2 ds_q^2$ and work in the ambient space $S^p \times D^{q+1}(b) \times [0,\infty)$. This space is identified with $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho) \times [0,\infty)$ via the rescaling diffeomorphism described in Proposition 2.3.1. We will retain the same notation for the rescaled γ and ψ_γ and denote the resulting metric on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)$, $g_\gamma|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)}$. This metric is computed as follows.

$$g_\gamma|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)} = \psi_\gamma|^*_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)}(d(\gamma_t(s))^2 + d(\gamma_r(s))^2 + \eta_\delta(\gamma_r(s))^2 ds_q^2), \tag{4.3.1}$$

where $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_t(s), \gamma_r(s))$ is arc-length parameterised. In particular, we now get that

$$g_\gamma|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)} = \psi_\gamma|^*_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)}(ds^2 + \eta_\delta(\gamma_r(s))^2 ds_q^2). \tag{4.3.2}$$

Immediately, we notice that the resulting metric takes the form of a warped product metric, or at least pulls back to one. The diffeomorphism ψ_γ is just a rescaling. This further justifies our decision to define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{O(q+1),\rho}(X)$. To understand the shape of this metric (and so begin to understand how to specify $\mathcal{W}$) it suffices to understand the composition function $\eta_\delta \circ \gamma_r$. The function η_δ is well understood and so we turn our attention to the function γ_r. This function is defined $\gamma_r : [0,l] \to [0,b]$ where l is the arc-length of the restriction of the curve γ to the one whose image lies below the line $r = b$. Among the various conditions satisfied by γ_r, are the following.

(i.) $\gamma_r(0) = 0$.
(ii.) $\gamma_r((0,l]) \subset (0,\infty)$.
(iii.) $\dot{\gamma}_r(0) = 1$.
(iv.) $\gamma_r^{(even)}(0) = 0$.
(v.) When s is near 0, $\ddot{\gamma}_r(s) \leq 0$.
(vi.) When s is near l, $\dot{\gamma}_r(s) = 1$.

This last condition follows from the fact that, when s is near l, γ is a vertical line segment. Now let us consider some properties of the composition function $\omega = \eta_\delta \circ \gamma_r : [0, l] \to [0, \infty)$. An easy calculation shows that the following must hold.

(i.) $\omega(0) = 0$.
(ii.) $\omega((0, l]) \subset (0, \infty)$.
(iii.) $\dot{\omega}_r(0) = 1$.
(iv.) $\omega^{(even)}(0) = 0$.
(v.) When s is near 0, $\ddot{\omega}_r(s) \leq 0$.
(vi.) The endpoint l is a horizontal point of ω, i.e. $j_l^\infty(\omega) = (\omega(l), 0, 0, 0, \cdots)$.
(vii.) The warped product metric $dr^2 + \omega(r)^2 ds_q^2$ on the disk $D^{q+1}(l)$ has positive scalar curvature.

A suggested form for the composition function, $\omega = \eta_\delta \circ \gamma_r$, is given in the left image of Fig. 18 below. Recall that this warping function describes one of the possible warped product metrics obtained at one stage of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy applied to a standard metric on the standard region. Although this warped product is no longer standard, it is at least a warped product metric whose warping function is well-behaved at the endpoints. In particular, the right end point is a horizontal point. Of course, in defining a space which is invariant under the Gromov-Lawson isotopy construction, we need to consider what happens if one reapplies the Gromov-Lawson construction again to this new metric. Indeed, we need to understand what happens when we reapply this construction an arbitrary finite number of times. In this case, the warping function may become quite complicated, as suggested by the right hand image in Fig. 18 below. Despite the possible complexity of the resulting warping function, we can be sure of the behaviour at the end points. At $r = 0$, the function always satisfies the conditions of an element of $\mathcal{B}^+$. Moreover, the right endpoint is always horizontal since we only adjust in the interior of N_ρ. This explains the conditions we imposed on functions in order to define $\mathcal{W}_b, \mathcal{W}$ and in turn $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$.



FIGURE 18. The warping function obtained by one iteration of the Gromov-Lawson construction on a standard metric (left) and the result of many iterations (right)

4.4. **The proof of Chernysh's Theorem.** To prove Theorem 1.2.1, we must show that the inclusions

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X),$$

are both weak homotopy equivalences. We will deal with the latter inclusion first.

We reconsider an arbitrary compact family $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Recall from Theorem 3.2.1, we defined, for the compact family $\mathcal{K}$, a map

$$\mathrm{std}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X).$$

In constructing this map, we specified a Gromov-Lawson curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K})$. Recall also that this map is homotopic, through maps into $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$, to the inclusion map $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Part of this homotopy is induced by a homotopy of the curve γ above back to the vertical line segment. We will be especially interested in the effect of this homotopy on metrics which are already standard or at least almost standard on the adjustment region. In that case, provided we choose an appropriate curve γ, the deformation to a vertical curve is actually the entire homotopy. Suppose, in particular, that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$ is a non-empty compact subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$. As we recalled above, each metric $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}}$ lies in a space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho_g)}(X)$ for some $\rho_g > 0$ and so by compactness, we specify $\rho_{\min} > 0$ so that $\rho_{\min} \leq \rho_g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}}$. We can now find a quantity, r_0, satisfying $0 < r_0 < \rho_{\min}$ and a Gromov-Lawson curve γ with first bend occurring at the line $r = r_0$, so that all adjustments occurring from Part 2 of the Gromov-Lawson isotopy in Theorem 3.2.1 occur inside the region $N_{\rho_{\min}}$. Thus, γ is an element of $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})_{r_0}$, the subspace of $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})$, consisting of Gromov-Lawson curves which are vertical above the line $r = r_0$. Furthermore, recall $\mathcal{A}^+_{GL}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})_{r_0} \subset \mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})_{r_0}$. By Lemma 4.3.2, this latter space is path connected. Thus, the homotopy of the curve γ which induces the homotopy of the map $\mathrm{std}_{\mathcal{K}}$ back to the inclusion map, takes place entirely inside the space $\mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})_{r_0}$.

Let g be a psc-metric in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}} \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}(\rho)}(X)$. We denote by $g(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the image of g throughout this homotopy of maps. Thus, $g(0) = g$, while $g(1) = \mathrm{std}_{\mathcal{K}}(g)$. We now have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4.1. *For all $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the metric $g(\lambda)$ lies in the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$.*

Proof. We have a homotopy of admissible curves $\gamma_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^+(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Astd}})_{r_0}$, inducing the isotopy of psc-metrics g_λ, over $\lambda \in [0,1]$. For any λ, the metric g_λ initially pulls back on $N_{\rho_{\min}}$ to the metric

$$\phi^*_{\rho_{\min}} g = ds^2_p + h,$$

on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(\rho)$, and then, by an arc-length parameter rescaling to the metric

$$ds^2_p + dr^2 + \omega(r)^2 ds^2_q,$$

on $S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)$, for some $b > 0$. Here, the warping function, $\omega : [0,b] \to [0,\infty)$, is an element of $\mathcal{W}$. Following the method in equations (4.3.1) and (4.3.2) above, we compute the metric $g(\lambda)|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)}$ as

$$g(\lambda)|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)} = \psi_{\gamma_\lambda}|^*_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(b)}(ds^2 + \omega(\gamma_{\lambda r}(s))^2 ds^2_q).$$

Here, $\gamma_{\lambda r}$ is the r-component of the admissible curve γ_λ. The torpedo function η_δ from equation (4.3.2) is replaced by the more general warping function, ω. An easy calculation now shows that the composition $\omega \circ \gamma_{\lambda r}$ is an element of $\mathcal{W}$. Hence the metric $g(\lambda)$ is an element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X)$. □

Corollary 4.4.2. *The inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{Astd}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is a compact family of psc-metrics and $\mathcal{K}_{\text{Astd}} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ is a compact subspace. Theorem 3.2.1 provides a continuous deformation of the family $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ to one which lies inside $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$. Lemma 4.4.1 then guarantees that this can be done in such a way that elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{Astd}}$ remain inside $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$. □

We now come to the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$. We will show weak homotopy equivalence in the usual way by exhibiting a continuous deformation of an arbitrary compact family of psc-metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ to a compact family of metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$. We will also show that any subfamily of psc-metrics which lies in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ is not moved out of that space during the deformation. To understand the deformation, we begin with $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, \infty)$, a single arbitrary function in $\mathcal{W}$. Consider now a torpedo function $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$, where $\delta = \omega(b)$. Naively, one might consider the linear homotopy

$$\omega_s = (1 - s)\omega + s\eta_\delta : [0, b] \longrightarrow [0, \infty),$$

where $s \in [0, 1]$, as sufficient for our purpose. After all, $\mathcal{B}_b \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a convex subspace. Moreover, it is easy to see that the following conditions are satisfied.

(i.) $\ddot{\omega}_s(r) < 0$ when r is near but not at 0.
(ii.) The point b is a horizontal point of ω_s.

This is almost what we need. There is one small problem however; the scalar curvature of the induced family of metrics may lose positivity at some stage. To see this, and to effectively deal with the problem, we compute the scalar curvature, R_s, of the metric $dr^2 + \omega_s(r)^2 ds_q^2$ on the disk $D^{q+1}(b)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_s =& -2q\frac{\ddot{\omega}_s}{\omega_s} + q(q-1)\frac{1-\dot{\omega}_s^2}{\omega_s^2} \\
=& -2q\frac{(1-s)\ddot{\omega} + s\ddot{\eta}_\delta}{(1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[(1-s)\dot{\omega} - s\dot{\eta}_\delta]^2}{((1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
=& -2q\frac{(1-s)\ddot{\omega}}{(1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[(1-s)\dot{\omega}]^2}{((1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& -2q\frac{s\ddot{\eta}_\delta}{(1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[s\dot{\eta}_\delta]^2}{((1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& + q(q-1)\frac{1+[2(1-s)s\dot{\omega}\dot{\eta}_\delta]}{((1-s)\omega + s\eta_\delta)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As we are dealing with a torpedo function, the third term in the five term final expression is easily seen to be non-negative while the fourth term is strictly positive on $(0, b]$. Finally, the last term is non-negative by the definition of $\mathcal{W}$, where we insisted that each $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfy $\dot{\omega} \geq 0$. The first and second terms, however, pose potential problems in preserving positivity. While we know that

$$-2q\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} + q(q-1)\frac{1-\dot{\omega}^2}{\omega^2} > 0,$$

by assumption, the change in the denominator may be a problem.

Near zero, we have some control as here the second derivative of ω is negative. Away from zero, we need to control the first and second derivatives of ω. The easiest way to do this is to continuously stretch the function horizontally away from zero. The conditions on the

endpoints of ω make this a straightforward procedure. Moreover, a horizontally stretched torpedo function is still a torpedo function. In the case when ω arises from a metric which is already standard and so is an element of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, this stretching process will not move it out of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$. Thus, the corresponding deformation on metrics will not move standard metrics out of the space $\mathcal{R}^{+}_{\text{std}}(X)$. The deformation will work as follows. We will continuously move $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, b]$ in the space $\mathcal{W}$ to a new stretched function $\omega' : [0, b'] \to [0, b]$, where $b' - b > 0$ is sufficiently large and the first and second derivatives of ω' are small away from 0. Having stretched the function horizontally, we will then perform a linear homotopy between ω' and the torpedo function $\eta_\delta : [0, b'] \to [0, \delta]$, where recall, $\delta = \omega(b) = \omega'(b')$. It will now be possible to preserve positivity of the scalar curvature of the corresponding metrics. Finally, we will need to show that all of this goes through for the compact family $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{W}$.

We return to the function $\omega : [0, b] \to [0, b]$. Recall that, by definition, there is a point $r_d > 0$ so that $\ddot{\omega}(r) < 0$ when $r \in (0, r_d]$. We now associate to ω a family of smooth increasing functions

$$c_{r_d,\lambda} : [0, b + \lambda] \longrightarrow [0, b],$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and which satisfy the following conditions.

(i.) $c_{r_d,\lambda}(t) = t$ when t is near 0. In particular, when $\lambda = 0$, $c_{r_d,0}(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, b]$.
(ii.) $\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t) > 0$ and $\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [0, b + \lambda]$.
(iii.) There is a point $t_d \in (0, b)$, determined by r_d and independent of λ, so that $c_{r_d,\lambda}(t_d) < r_d$ and

$$c_{r_d,\lambda}(t) = \frac{bt}{b + \lambda},$$

when $t \in [t_d, b + \lambda]$.

Such functions are called *stretching functions for* ω and it is easy to see how to construct them. To aid the reader, we provide examples in Fig. 19 below.



FIGURE 19. Stretching functions $c_{r_d,\lambda}$ and $c_{r_d,0}$ (dashed diagonal)

The need for the conditions around the points r_d and t_d will be made clear a little later on. Next we consider the function

$$\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda} : [0, b + \lambda] \longrightarrow [0, b]\,.$$

It is immediate that if $\lambda = 0$, the function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,0}$ is exactly the original function ω. In the case when $\lambda > 0$, the function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}$ is a "stretched" version of the original function ω;

see Fig. 20. We now make a couple of observations about the smooth composition function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}$.



FIGURE 20. Stretching the function ω (left) to obtain the function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}$ (right)

Lemma 4.4.3. *The function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}$ is an element of $\mathcal{W}_{b+\lambda}$.*

Proof. As $c_{r_d,\lambda}(t) = t$ when t is near 0, we do not interfere with the conditions on ω near 0 while a simple calculation shows that $b + \lambda$ is a horizontal point of $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}$. Furthermore, $\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}) \geq 0$, since both ω and $c_{r_d,\lambda}$ have this property.

It remains to consider the scalar curvature of the metric $dt^2 + \omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}(t)^2 ds_q^2$, where $q \geq 2$, over $t \in [0, b + \lambda]$. This is given by the formula

$$R_{\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}}(t,\theta) = -2q \frac{\frac{d^2}{dt^2}[\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))]}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} + q(q-1)\frac{1 - [\frac{d}{dt}[\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))]]^2}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2},$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda}}(t,\theta) =& -2q\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)^2 \frac{\ddot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} + q(q-1)\frac{1 - [\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t)).\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)]^2}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2} \\
& - 2q\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)\frac{\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} \\
\geq& -2q\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)^2 \frac{\ddot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} + q(q-1)\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)^2 \left[\frac{1 - \dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2}\right] \\
& - 2q\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)\frac{\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} \\
=& \dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)^2 \left[-2q\frac{\ddot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))} + q(q-1)\frac{1 - \dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))^2}\right] - 2q\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t)\frac{\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}{\omega(c_{r_d,\lambda}(t))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term in this final expression is positive by assumption on ω. The remaining term is at worst non-negative, since $\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}(t) \leq 0$ for all t. □

The following elementary proposition makes explicit the effect we wish to achieve.

Proposition 4.4.4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $\Lambda \geq 0$, so that*

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\lambda})\right| \leq \epsilon$$

on the interval $[t_d, b + \lambda]$, when $\lambda \geq \Lambda$.

Proof. This is immediate from condition (iii.) in the definition of $c_{r_d,\lambda}$. □

We now return to the deformation. At this stage, let us suppose that ω has been moved continuously through the space $\mathcal{W}$ to a function $\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} \in \mathcal{W}_{b+\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{W}$. We now consider the torpedo function $\eta_\delta : [0, b+\Lambda] \to [0, \delta]$, where $\delta = \omega(b) = \omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda}(b+\Lambda)$. We wish to show the following.

Lemma 4.4.5. *Provided Λ is sufficiently large, the linear homotopy*

$$\omega_{\Lambda,s} = (1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda}(b+\Lambda) + s\eta_\delta,$$

where $s \in [0,1]$, lies entirely inside $\mathcal{W}_{b+\Lambda}$.

Proof. Based on our earlier observations, to show this, we need only show that the corresponding warped product metric $dr^2 + \omega_{\Lambda,s}(r)^2 ds_q^2$ has positive scalar curvature for $s \in [0,1]$. The scalar curvature, $R_{\Lambda,s}$, of this metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\Lambda,s} =& -2q\frac{\ddot{\omega}_{\Lambda,s}}{\omega_s} + q(q-1)\frac{1-\dot{\omega}_{\Lambda,s}^2}{\omega_s^2} \\
=& -2q\frac{(1-s)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda}) + s\ddot{\eta}_\delta}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[(1-s)\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda}) - s\dot{\eta}_\delta]^2}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
=& -2q\frac{(1-s)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda})}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[(1-s)\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda})]^2}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& -2q\frac{s\ddot{\eta}_\delta}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[s\dot{\eta}_\delta]^2}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& + q(q-1)\frac{1+[2(1-s)s\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda})\dot{\eta}_\delta]}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
=& -2q\frac{(1-s)\dot{c}_{r_d,\Lambda}^2\ddot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\Lambda})}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[(1-s)\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda}).\dot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}]^2}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& -2q\ddot{c}_{r_d,\lambda}\frac{(1-s)\dot{\omega}(c_{r_d,\lambda})}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} \\
& -2q\frac{s\ddot{\eta}_\delta}{(1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta} + q(q-1)\frac{1-[s\dot{\eta}_\delta]^2}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2} \\
& + q(q-1)\frac{1+[2(1-s)s\frac{d}{dt}(\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda})\dot{\eta}_\delta]}{((1-s)\omega \circ c_{r_d,\Lambda} + s\eta_\delta)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of the last four terms of this final six term summand is positive. This follows since η_δ is a torpedo function, all first derivatives are non-negative and $c_{r_d,\Lambda}$ has only non-positive second derivative. We must show that the first two terms can be controlled. In the case when $0 < t \leq t_d$, $c_{r_d,\Lambda}(t) \leq r_d$ and so ω has negative second derivative here. Thus, when $0 < t \leq t_d$, the first term causes no problems. Similarly, as $\dot{\omega}(0) = 1$, the fact that ω has negative second derivative means that $0 \leq \dot{\omega} \leq 1$, when $0 \leq t \leq t_d$. Thus the second term is not a problem here either. It remains to consider the case when $t \geq t_d$. Here, we can make $\dot{c}_{r_d,\Lambda}$ as small as we like, by choosing Λ sufficiently large and so the contribution of the first two terms can be controlled. □

This completes our description of the deformation of an individual warping function $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ to an element of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$. We now return to the case of a compact family. Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact family of psc-metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ and let $\mathcal{K}_{\text{std}} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$. Recall that for each element $g \in \mathcal{K}$, there is a quantity ρ_g so that $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho_g)}(X)$. By compactness there are quantities $\rho_{\min}$ and $\rho_{\max}$ so that $0 < \rho_{\min} \leq \rho_g \leq \rho_{\max}$, for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$. We consider the map $\text{warp}_{\mathcal{K}}$, defined

$$\begin{aligned} \text{warp}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{K} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \\ g &\longmapsto \omega_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_g : [0, b_g] \longrightarrow [0, b_g]$ is the warping function $\text{warp}_{\rho_g}(g)$ obtained by the map

$$\text{warp}_{\rho_g} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}(\rho_g)}(X) \to \mathcal{W}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}}$, the image of the map $\text{warp}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathcal{W}$. At the level of the warping functions, there are constants $b_{\min}$ and $b_{\max}$ so that $0 < b_{\min} \leq b_g \leq b_{\max}$, for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$.

We wish to perform a continuous deformation of the family of warping functions $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{W}$, which induces a continuous deformation of the family of metrics $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$. By Lemma 4.2.3, it is enough to ensure that our adjustments on functions in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}}$ do not affect the end points of their domains. In other words, for each function $\omega_g : [0, b_g] \longrightarrow [0, b_g]$, we must ensure that $j^\infty_0(\omega_g)$ and $j^\infty_{b_g}(\omega_g)$ are fixed throughout the deformation. The deformation described above certainly respects this for individual functions. By compactness, we may choose one quantity $r_d(\min) \in (0, b_{\min})$ so that $\ddot{\omega_g} < 0$ on $(0, r_d(\min))$ for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$. We now consider the continuous deformation of this family obtained by replacing each $\omega_g \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}}$ by $\omega_g \circ c^g_{r_d \min,\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in [0, \Lambda]$, where Λ has yet to be specified and $c^g_{r_d(\min),\lambda}$ is the stretching function

$$c^g_{r_d(\min),\lambda} : [0, b_g + \lambda] \longrightarrow [0, b_g].$$

Once again by compactness, there is a corresponding quantity $t_d(\min) \in (0, b_{\min})$ so that $c^g_{r_d(\min),\lambda}(t_d) < r_d(\min)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{K}$.

By Lemma 4.4.3, this deformation stays inside $\mathcal{W}$. Moreover, elements of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$ remain in $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$ throughout the deformation. Having stretched the family of functions horizontally, we now apply a family-wise linear homotopy, replacing each $\omega_g \circ c^g_{r_d(\min),\Lambda}$ with

$$(1 - s)\omega_g \circ c^g_{r_d(\min),\Lambda} + s\eta_{\omega_g(b_g)},$$

where $s \in [0, 1]$. All of the bounding arguments concerning the last four terms in the final six term summand in the proof of Lemma 4.4.5 go through just as well for a compact family. So do the arguments concerning the case when $t \leq t_d(\min)$. Finally, by compactness, all first and second derivatives of functions $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}}$ are uniformly bounded and so we may specify Λ sufficiently large so that, when $t \geq t_d(\min)$, the first two terms in this summand are controlled. Thus, we may move the compact family $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}}$ inside of $\mathcal{W}$ to one which lies in $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$, without moving elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\text{torp}}$ out of that space. As this deformation has no effect on the endpoints of functions, we may employ Lemma 4.2.3 to conclude the following.

Lemma 4.4.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact family of psc-metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$. There is a continuous deformation of the family $\mathcal{K}$, inside $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$, which moves $\mathcal{K}$ to a family which lies in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ while, at every stage, keeping elements of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{std}} = \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$ inside $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X)$.*

Corollary 4.4.7. *The inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(X) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{Astd}}(X)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Combining this with Corollary 4.4.2 completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.1. We will make considerable use of this theorem and of the strategy behind its proof when we come to prove our main results, in particular Theorem A.

5. Variations on the Torpedo Metric

Before proceeding any further, there are some variations on the torpedo metric which we must discuss. Much of this is based on section 2 of [25] where we perform various types of cutting, pasting, stretching and bending of the original torpedo metric. In particular, we will define the so-called "boot metric" which will be important when proving our main result, Theorem A.

5.1. **Toes and boots.** Recall that, as smooth topological objects, we model the disk $D^n = D^n(1)$ as the set of points $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$ and the sphere $S^n = S^n(1)$ as the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = 1\}$. More generally, $S^n(r)$ and $D^n(r)$ denote the corresponding Euclidean sphere and disk of radius $r > 0$. We respectively denote by S^n_- and S^n_+ the hemispheres $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = 1, x_{n+1} \leq 0\}$ and $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| = 1, x_{n+1} \geq 0\}$. These hemispheres will be identified with the disk D^n via the obvious map which sends geodesic rays emanating from the points $(0, 0, \cdots, 0, \pm 1)$ to the corresponding ray on the flat disk $D^n(\frac{\pi}{2})$ followed by the above rescaling map. Finally, we denote by D^n_- and D^n_+, the hemi-disks $\{x \in D^n : x_n \leq 0\}$ and $\{x \in D^n : x_n \geq 0\}$. These hemi-disks are, of course, smooth manifolds with corners, where

$$\partial(D^n_\pm) = S^{n-1}_\pm \cup D^{n-1}$$

and

$$\partial^2(D^n_\pm) = \partial S^{n-1}_\pm = \partial D^{n-1} = S^{n-1}_\pm \cap D^{n-1} = S^{n-2}.$$

We now consider the restriction of a δ-torpedo metric on D^n, $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$, to each of the hemi-disks. This gives metrics

$$g^n_{\text{torp}\pm}(\delta) := g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)|_{D^n_\pm}.$$

These metrics metrics restrict on the boundary components as

$$g^n_{\text{torp}\pm}(\delta)|_{S^{n-1}_\pm} = \delta^2 ds^2_{n-1}|_{S^{n-1}_\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad g^n_{\text{torp}\pm}(\delta)|_{D^{n-1}} = g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta).$$

Consider now, the cylinder $D^{n-1} \times I$. We may glue this to the hemi-disk D^n_+ (or D^n_-), in the obvious way, to obtain (after suitable smoothing) the manifold with corners D^n_{stretch} depicted below in Fig. 21. Roughly speaking,

$$D^n_{\text{stretch}} \approx D^n_+ \cup (D^{n-1} \times I).$$

We would like to equip it with a metric $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ (depicted in Fig. 21) which satisfies, essentially,

$$\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)|_{D^n_+} = g^n_{\text{torp}+}(\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)|_{D^{n-1}\times I} = g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2.$$

As it stands, simply gluing these metric pieces together will not result in a smooth metric. However, it is possible to do this smoothly producing a metric which, away from the attachment submanifold is precisely the metric described above. This is done in great detail in Lemma 2.1 of [24] and so we will not discuss it any further here. Henceforth, $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ denotes the metric obtained by the above attachment after suitable smoothing. This metric is known as a *δ-toe metric* for reasons which will become clear shortly. It follows easily

from the curvature calculations done in section 2 of [25] that this metric has positive scalar curvature.

There is one final piece of notation we must add. Up until now when considering torpedo metrics we have not paid any attention to the length of the neck. This is because all that has mattered was that the metric had some neck (even an infinitesimal one). In proving our main result, the neck length will matter. With that in mind, we denote by $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$, a torpedo metric of neck length l. The corresponding restriction to $D^n_{\pm}$ is denoted $g^n_{\text{torp}\pm}(\delta)_l$. The case of $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ is a little more complicated, given that there are two neck lengths we could possibly consider. We will only be interested in imposing length conditions in one of these directions and so we will leave $D^{n-1} \times I$ part of D^n_{stretch} untouched along the interval I. We now denote by $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$, the metric which satisfies

$$\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l|_{D^n_+} = g^n_{\text{torp}+}(\delta)_l \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l|_{D^{n-1}\times I} = g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l + dt^2.$$

This is depicted in the rightmost image of Fig. 21.



FIGURE 21. The metrics $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$, $g^n_{\text{torp}+}(\delta)$ and $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ (bottom) on the manifolds D^n, D^n_+ and D^n_{stretch} (top) and the metric $\hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$ (far right)

In the original Gromov-Lawson construction, a geometric surgery is performed on a Riemannian manifold of positive scalar curvature by replacing a standardised part of the metric, of the form $ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$, with a new standard piece $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + \delta^2 ds^2_{q+1}$. As we will see shortly, in the case of manifolds with boundary, analogous roles will be played by the standard metrics $ds^2_p + \hat{g}^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$ and $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$; see Fig. 22. The common neck-length l on the second factors is necessary for these pieces to appropriately line up. We next consider the cylinder $I \times D^{n-1}$, equipped with the product metric $dt^2 + g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$. This is shown on the left hand image in Fig. 23. We would like to perform an isotopy of this metric, through psc-metrics which take a product structure near the ends of the cylinder, to one which takes the form shown in the right hand image of Fig. 23. This process is called "pushing out a toe" on the cylinder to obtain a "boot." The resulting metric, known as a *boot metric* and denoted $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)$, is described in detail below. We will come back to the isotopy shortly.

The boot metric $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)$ is a metric on $D^{n-1} \times I$ and is constructed as follows. We may decompose $D^{n-1} \times I$ into regions R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4 as depicted in Fig. 24. These regions



FIGURE 22. The metric $ds_p^2 + \hat{g}_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)_l$ (left) and $g_{\text{torp}}^{p+1}(1) + g_{\text{torp}}^{q+1}(\delta)_l$ (right)



FIGURE 23. Pushing out a toe to obtain a boot metric, $g_{\text{boot}}^n(\delta)$

take the form

$$R_1 = D^n_{\text{stretch}}, \quad R_2 \cong D^{n-1} \times I, \quad R_3 \cong D^{n-1} \times I, \quad \text{and} \quad R_4 \cong S^{n-2} \times D^2.$$

In the case of R_4, the 2-dimensional disk here is only homeomorphic to D^2. As a smooth object, it is really a corner piece as depicted in the figure. We then equip each region with a metric to form $g_{\text{boot}}^n(\delta)$ as

$$(R_1, \hat{g}_{\text{torp}}^n(\delta)) \cup (R_2, g_{\text{bendtorp}}^n(\delta)) \cup (R_3, g_{\text{torp}}^{n-1}(\delta) + dt^2) \cup (R_4, \delta^2 ds_{n-2}^2 + dr^2 + dt^2),$$

where these metrics are glued together in the way depicted in Fig. 24. The metric $g_{\text{bendtorp}}^n(\delta)$ has yet to be defined. Although, the picture makes clear what sort of metric $g_{\text{bendtorp}}^n(\delta)$ must be, we need to construct such metrics precisely and, importantly, show positivity of the scalar curvature. Roughly speaking, this metric is obtained by bending the cylinder metric $g_{\text{torp}}^{n-1}(\delta) + dt^2$ over an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. The danger here, as the picture suggests, is that we may introduce too much negative curvature in the bending direction. Moreover, we would like to do this without having to rescale δ to compensate. Thus, we must show that such a bending can be performed slowly enough. This will be done in the next section.

Remark 5.1.1. So far, we have suppressed information concerning lengths of torpedo necks etc. We will need this information, but to avoid overloading the reader, we will introduce it a little later on.



FIGURE 24. The various components of the boot metric

5.2. **Making the boot metric.** We begin with the product metric $dt^2 + g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ on the cylinder $I \times D^{n-1}$. Letting $\eta_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$ denote a torpedo function, this metric is computed as

$$dt^2 + dr^2 + \eta_\delta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-2}.$$

We wish to construct a formula for an isotopy of metrics which takes this metric to a "boot metric" of the type described above. In particular, this will involve computing a formula for the metric $g^n_{\text{bendtorp}}(\delta)$. Notice, from the middle image in Fig. 23, that there are essentially two types of bending necessary to produce $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)$. These are shown in Fig. 25 below. The first of these is not problematical and can be performed as slowly or quickly as we like. The second, however, needs to be performed sufficently slowly (or over a sufficiently large bending arc) as to not introduce too much negative curvature.



FIGURE 25. The two types of bending of the cylinder $dt^2 + g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)$ needed to produce $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)$

We can actually describe both bends simultaneously by bending a cylinder of double torpedo metrics. More generally, we consider the case of the metric obtained by bending a cylinder $g + dt^2$ on some interval $[0, c\frac{\pi}{2}]$, where $c > 0$ and g is some rotationally symmetric metric on S^n (such as a double torpedo metric), over an angle of $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ as suggested in Fig. 26. More precisely, we equip the cylinder $S^{n-1} \times [0, c\frac{\pi}{2}]$ with the metric $g^c_{\text{cyl}} = g + dt^2$, where g is obtained as follows. Let $F : (0, b) \times S^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the embedding described



FIGURE 26. Bending a standard cylinder over an angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ (left) and a double torpedo metric cylinder (over an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$) (right)

in equation (2.2.3) from section 2, defined $F(r,\theta) = (\beta(r).\theta, \alpha(r))$, which corresponds to the metric g. For notational reasons it is convenient to swap the positions of the $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ factors from that of (2.2.3). Here α and β satisfy the conditions described in equation (2.2.4) in this section. The cylinder metric $g^c_{\text{cyl}} = g + dt^2$ on $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is obtained via the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyl}_c : (0,b) \times S^{n-2} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}] \\ (r,\theta,t) &\longmapsto (\beta(r).\theta, c + \alpha(r), t), \end{aligned}$$

where $S^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and consists of the set of points $\theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{n-1})$ which satisfy

$$\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \cdots + \theta_{n-1}^2 = 1,$$

and $c >$ diameter(g). The image of such an embedding is depicted in Fig. 27 below. In particular, the round cylinder of radius δ is obtained by the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyl}_c : (0, \delta\pi) \times S^{n-2} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, \tfrac{\pi}{2}] \\ (r,\theta,t) &\longmapsto (c + \delta \cos \tfrac{r}{\delta}, \theta.\delta \sin \tfrac{r}{\delta}, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 2\delta > 0$. The reason for the parameter c will become clear shortly.



FIGURE 27. The image of the map cyl_c

We define a map, bend, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bend} : (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty) &\longrightarrow (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty), \\ (x_0, x, l) &\longmapsto (x_0 \cos t, x, x_0 \sin t). \end{aligned}$$

Here $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We now consider a metric g_{bcyl}, which is then obtained by pulling back the standard Euclidean metric on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ via the composition bend $\circ$ cyl. These maps and their effect on the cylinder are represented, in the case of the round cylinder, in Fig. 28 below. $g_{\text{bcyl}} = (\text{bend} \circ \text{cyl})^*(g_{\text{Euc}}) = \text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}}))$ is now computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}})) &= \dot{\alpha}(r)^2 dr^2 + \dot{\beta}(r)^2 dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-1} + (c+\alpha(r))^2 dt^2 \\ &= dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-2} + (c+\alpha(r))^2 dt^2.\end{aligned}$$

Details of this computation are contained in an appendix to this paper.



FIGURE 28. The embedding cyl (left) followed by the diffeomorphism bend (right)

In the case when g is a double torpedo metric, this formula certainly restricts on an appropriate sub-region to one which describes most of the metric $g^n_{\text{bendtorp}}(\delta)$. Our case is a little more complicated however, as our metric must smoothly transition back to the standard cylinder at each end. With this in mind, we will modify the formula above to define $g^n_{\text{bendtorp}}(\delta)$. Let

$$w_\theta : [0,b] \times [-L, L+\theta] \longrightarrow [1,\infty),$$

denote a family of smooth functions, where $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, $L > 0$ and which satisfy the following properties.

(i.) When $\theta = 0$, $w_0(r,t) = 1$ for all $(r,t) \in [0,b] \times [-L, L]$.
(ii.) $w_\theta(r,t) = 1$ when t is near $-L$ or $L + \frac{\pi}{2}$.
(iii.) For some $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $w_\theta(r,t) = c + \alpha(r)$ when $t \in [0,\theta]$ and $\theta \geq \theta_0$.
(iv.) $\left|\frac{\partial w_\theta}{\partial r}\right| \leq 1$ and $\frac{\partial^2 w_\theta}{\partial r^2} \leq 0$.

We now consider the family of metrics $\{g^c_\theta : \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]\}$ defined by the formula

$$g^c_\theta = dr^2 + w_\theta(r,t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_\delta(r)^2 ds^2_{n-2},$$

where $r \in [0, b]$ and $t \in [-L, L + \theta]$. The scalar curvature of each metric g^c_θ is given by the following formula.

$$R^c_\theta = \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{\beta^2}\Big[1 - \Big(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r}\Big)^2\Big] - \frac{2(n-2)}{\beta}.\frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial r^2} - \frac{2n}{\beta}\Big[\frac{\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial r}.\frac{\partial w_\theta}{\partial r}}{w_\theta}\Big] - 2\frac{(\frac{\partial^2 w_\theta}{\partial r^2})}{w_\theta}.$$

Lemma 5.2.1. *For sufficiently large c, the scalar curvature R^c_θ is strictly positive for all $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.*

Proof. The conditions imposed on β and w mean that the sum of the first, second and fourth terms in the above formula is positive. By choosing large c, we may minimise any negativity arising from the third term. □

By appropriately rescaling the family of intervals $[-L, L + \theta], \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, we may obtain, from the family g^c_θ with large enough c, an isotopy of psc-metrics on $S^n \times I$. The case we are interested in is the subcase of the above lemma where the cylinder $g + dt^2$ is a cylinder of double torpedo metrics. With this in mind, suppose we replace β with the double torpedo function $\bar{\eta}_\delta : [0, b] \to [0, \delta]$, defined in section 2.2. Moreover, suppose we restrict η_δ to $[\frac{b}{2}, b]$. Then the restriction metric

$$g^c_{\frac{\pi}{2}}|_{[\frac{b}{2},b]\times[-L,L+\frac{\pi}{2}]\times S^{n-2}} = dr^2 + w_\theta(r,t)^2 dt^2 + \eta_\delta|_{[\frac{b}{2},b]}(r)^2 ds^2_{n-2},$$

is, for sufficiently large c, a positive scalar curvature metric which satisfies the conditions required to be the metric $g^n_{\text{bendtorp}}(\delta)$.

We now return to the issue of neck lengths. Obviously to perform the desired isotopy, we must extend the length of the cylinder metric $g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2$ on $S^{n-1} \times I$. We will now describe a more specific version of the boot metric which will be necessary for our purposes. Consider a boot metric $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)$. Recall that we defined such a metric on $D^{n-1} \times I$ by the way it decomposed on subregions R_1, R_2, R_3 and R_4. In particular, notice that the boundary of this manifold consists of 3 distinct $(n-1)$ dimensional pieces. We denote these pieces as follows.

(i.) $B_1 \cong D^{n-1}$ is the boundary component which partially bounds of R_1 and R_4.
(ii.) $B_2 \cong S^{n-2} \times I$ is the boundary component which partially bounds of R_3 and R_4.
(iii.) $B_3 \cong D^{n-1}$ is the remaining boundary component of R_3.

We now denote by $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)_{l_1,l_2}$, the boot metric which satisfies the following conditions.

(i.) $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)_{l_1,l_2}|_{R_1} = \hat{g}^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_{l_1}$.
(ii.) The restriction $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)_{l_1,l_2}$ on the "vertical " boundary component B_2 is the cylinder metric $dt^2 + \delta^2 ds^2_{n-2}$ on $[0, l_2] \times S^{n-2}$.

Note that we make no impositions on the length of the restriction of the metric to B_1. Here we allow the length to be arbitrary, although we bear in mind that it may necessarily be quite long to accommodate the adjustments necessary in the bending process. To aid the reader, this metric is depicted in Fig. 29 below. The following lemma is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2.1.

Lemma 5.2.2. *For $n \geq 4$, $l_1 > 0$ and for some sufficiently large $l_2 > 0$, there is an isotopy through psc-metrics on $S^{n-1} \times I$ between $g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2$ and $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)_{l_1,l_2}$.*



FIGURE 29. The boot metric $g^n_{\text{boot}}(\delta)_{l_1,l_2}$

5.3. **Step metrics.** Before concluding this section, there is class of metrics we wish to define which will be of immense use later on. In the proof of Theorem A, we will encounter a problem of the sort encountered in the proof of Theorem 1.2.1, where a deformation we carry out to standardise certain metrics temporarily moves already standard metrics out of the standard space. In Theorem 1.2.1, we overcome this problem by defining a suitable intermediary space of almost standard metrics. In proving Theorem A, we will have to do something similar. The reader should view the space of "step metrics", which we define below, as the principle tool in constructing an analogue of the space of "almost standard metrics." This will become clearer when it comes to proving Theorem A.

Suppose we begin with a product of torpedo metrics $g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2$ on $D^{n-1} \times I$. To keep the notation simple, we will not specify neck lengths or the length of the product. We will simply assume that all lengths are sufficient to allow adjustments to maintain positive scalar curvature. We now consider a sub-cylinder of $D^{n-1} \times I$, determined by some subinterval $[0, t_1] \subset [0, 1]$ where $t_1 \in (0, 1)$. On this interval, we may perform the boot metric isotopy above and obtain the metric shown on the right hand image in Fig. 30. This is, effectively, a boot metric on its side. In fact, suppose we denote by $g_s, s \in I$, the isotopy starting with



FIGURE 30. The boot metric "on its side"

the metric $g_0 = g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2$ and ending at this sideways boot metric. Let $t_2 \in (0, t_1)$ be a

point so that for any $s \in I$, the metric g_s is a product $g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta) + dt^2$ on the sub-interval $[0, t_2]$. Choose any such metric g_s and repeat the procedure with respect to the interval $[0, t_2]$. Once again, we obtain another isotopy and third point t_3 so that, at any stage in the isotopy, the metric is a product of torpedo metrics along $[0, t_3]$. A metric which is obtained by finitely many iterations of this procedure is called a *δ-step metric* on the cylinder $D^{n-1} \times I$ and denoted $g^n_{\text{step}}(\delta)$; see left image of Fig. 31.

We conclude with the following elementary proposition. Let $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{step}}(D^{n-1} \times I)$ denote the space of δ-step metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+(D^{n-1} \times I)$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{torpcyl}}(D^{n-1} \times I)$ denote the space of cylinder metrics of the form $g^n_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l + dt^2$, where the neck-length $l > 0$ is arbitrary and t lies on an interval $[0, L]$ of arbitrary length.

Proposition 5.3.1. *The inclusion $i : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{torpcyl}}(D^{n-1} \times I) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{step}}(D^{n-1} \times I)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This is almost immediate from the work done above. Let g be any step metric. We define $r(g)$ to be the product of torpedo metrics $g^{n-1}_{\text{torp}}(\delta)_l$ along the interval $[0, L]$ where L is the horizontal length of the step metric and where l is the neck length of the torpedo metric at the longer end of the interval. This determines a map

$$r : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{step}}(D^{n-1} \times I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{torpcyl}}(D^{n-1} \times I)$$

which makes $r \circ i$ the identity map on $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{torpcyl}}(D^{n-1} \times I)$.

Now, suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact family of step metrics. Each step metric in this family is a finite alternating sequence of products and partial stages of the boot isotopy. As $\mathcal{K}$ is compact, there is a finite upper bound on the number of such alterations. Starting on the end consisting of the shorter torpedo metric product, we unbend back to the height of the next product immediately to the right. Finitely many compositions of such isotopies allow us to turn $\mathcal{K}$ into $r(\mathcal{K})$, while elements of $r(\mathcal{K})$ are fixed by this process; see right image of Fig. 31. □



FIGURE 31. A step metric (left) and the isotopy back to the cylinder metric (right)

6. Surgery on the Boundary

Recall that in the proof of Theorem 1.2.1, there are are two surgeries we need to consider. The first one is a surgery on an embedded p-sphere S^p in X. The second one is a complementary surgery on the sphere S^q in X', the sphere factor of the attached handle. This undoes the first surgery and so returns (up to diffeomorphism) the original manifold X. Provided both surgeries are in co-dimension at least three i.e. $p, q \geq 2$, the conclusion of the theorem holds. Moreover, if the ambient manifold X is replaced by a manifold with non-empty boundary, W, and if the embedded surgery sphere S^p is in the interior of W, then, again, the theorem goes through without difficulty. In order to prove Theorem A, we will be interested in the case where the embedded surgery sphere S^p lies in the boundary of W. This is slightly more complicated, in particular with regard to finding an appropriate second complementary surgery which undoes the first one. There are two types of surgery we must now consider on W.

Suppose that $i : S^p \to \partial W = X$ is an embedding, as above and depicted in the left image of Fig. 32, which extends to an embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow \partial W = X$. Recall here that $p + q + 1 = n$, the dimension of X and that W has dimension $n + 1$. We will now examine two types of surgery: one where the image of the sphere S^p bounds a $(p + 1)$-dimensional disk in W, the other involving no such assumption.

6.1. **Surgery Type 1.** Here we assume that the embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow \partial W = X$ extends to an embedding

$$\bar{\phi} : S^p \times D^{q+2}_+ \to W,$$

where D^{q+2}_+ is the closed unit upper-half disk in $\mathbb{R}^{q+2}$ and the product

$$(S^p \times (D^{q+2}_+ \setminus D^{q+1}))$$

is contained in the interior of W as shown in the middle image of Fig. 32. By doing surgery on the embedding $\bar{\phi}$ we obtain the manifold W' defined as

$$W' = (W \setminus \bar{\phi}(S^p \times \mathrm{int}(D^{q+2}_+)) \cup_{\bar{\phi}} (D^{p+1} \times S^{q+1}_+).$$

Here S^{q+1}_+ is the closed upper hemisphere of S^{q+1} in $\mathbb{R}^{q+2}$ and appropriate smoothing adjustments are made at the corners. The boundary $\partial W'$ of W', is X', the manifold obtained by surgery on X with respect to the embedded surgery sphere S^p; see right image of Fig. 32.



Figure 32. Surgery of type 1 on a manifold with boundary

The reader may be curious as to why we extend the embedding ϕ into the interior of W. Obviously, the manifold W' above obtained by a surgery of type 1 is diffeomorphic to

that obtained by simply attaching along the boundary of W, the trace of a surgery on the embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \to \partial W$, as in Fig. 8, or indeed attaching the product $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$ directly to ∂W with appropriate smoothing . When it comes to making metric adjustments however, it is often geometrically convenient to consider the surgery in the way we do.

6.2. **Surgery Type 2.** Here we consider the case where the embedded surgery sphere $i : S^p \hookrightarrow \partial W$ bounds a disk in W. More precisely, suppose that the embedding $i : S^p \hookrightarrow \partial W$ extends to an embedding $\bar{i} : S^{p+1}_+ \hookrightarrow W$, and, in turn, the embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow \partial W$ extends to an embedding

$$\bar{\phi} : S^{p+1}_+ \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow W,$$

which satisfies

(i) $\bar{\phi}|_{S^{p+1}_+ \times \{0\}} = \bar{i}$ and $\bar{\phi}|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}} = \phi$,
(ii) $\bar{\phi}(\mathrm{int}(S^{p+1}_+) \times D^{q+1})$ are contained in the interior of W,
(iii) $\bar{\phi}(S^{p+1}_+ \times \{x\})$ intersects transversely with ∂W for all $x \in D^{q+1}$.

We now do surgery on W with respect to $\bar{\phi}$ to obtain

$$W' = (X \setminus \bar{\phi}(\mathrm{int}(S^{p+1}_+) \times D^{q+1})) \cup_{\bar{\phi}} (D^{p+2}_+ \times S^q).$$

As in the previous case, the boundary $\partial W'$ is precisely the manifold X' obtained by the original surgery on X; see Fig. 33.



FIGURE 33. Surgery of type 2 on a manifold with boundary

We conclude our discussion by pointing out that a surgery of type 1 may be reversed by a complementary surgery of type 2 and vice versa. More precisely, we assume that W' is obtained from W by a surgery of type 1 on an embedding $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow \partial W$; see left and middle images of Fig. 34. Then we may undo this surgery and restore W by performing a surgery of type 2 on the resulting embedding $D^{p+1} \times S^{q+1}_+$; see rightmost image of Fig. 34.



FIGURE 34. Reversing a surgery of type 1 with a surgery of type 2

In the case where W' is obtained from W by a surgery of type 2 on an embedded S^{p+1}, we may restore W by a surgery of type 1 on the embedded sphere S^q which lies naturally in the newly attached $D^{p+2}_+ \times S^q$. This is illustrated in Fig. 35 although to simplify the picture we describe the situation only locally.



FIGURE 35. Reversing a surgery of type 2 with a surgery of type 1

7. The Proof of Theorem A

We begin by describing the geometric setting for Theorem A. Let W and X be as described in the introduction. Thus, W is a smooth compact manifold with boundary $\partial W = X$, a closed manifold. Throughout, we assume that W has dimension $n+1$ and that $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, there is a collar $c : X \times [0,2) \to W$ around X. Recall from the introduction that the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ is the space of all psc-metrics on W whose restriction to $c(X \times [0,1])$ pulls back to a product metric on $X \times [0,1]$. Furthermore for each $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ denotes the space of all psc-metrics on W which extend g. This latter space may be empty. In the case when ∂W is a disjoint union of closed manifolds X_0 and X_1, we decompose the collar c into disjoint collars, $c_i : X_i \times [0,2) \to W$ around X_i for each of $i = 0, 1$. Finally, $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0,-}$, $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{-,g_1}$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0,g_1}$ are the subspaces of $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ consisting of psc-metrics on W extending $g_0 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X_0)$, $g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X_1)$ and $g_0 \sqcup g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X_0 \sqcup X_1)$ respectively.

7.1. **Extending the Gromov-Lawson construction over the trace of a surgery.** We begin with an embedding $S^p \hookrightarrow X$ with trivial normal bundle, $p+q+1 = n$ and $q \geq 2$. We obtain, for some $\bar{r} > 0$, an embedding $\phi = \phi_{\bar{r}} : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}) \hookrightarrow X \subset W$, extending the embedding $S^p \hookrightarrow X$, exactly as in section 3. We define $\phi_r := \phi|_{S^p \times D^{q+1}(r)}$, where $r \in (0, \bar{r}]$ and denote by N_r, the image of ϕ_r in X. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ be any psc-metric. As discussed in section 3, the Gromov-Lawson Surgery Theorem provides a technique for replacing $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ with a new psc-metric $g_{\text{std}} \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Making use of Lemma 4.1.3, we may assume that the metric g_{std} satisfies the condition that $\phi^*_{r_{\text{std}}} g_{\text{std}} = ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$, for some $r_{\text{std}} \in (0, \bar{r})$, while outside $N_{\bar{r}}$, $g_{\text{std}} = g$. We recall that this metric is now surgery ready. By removing the standard piece $ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$ and attaching the metric $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + ds^2_q$, we obtain a metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}^+(X')$, where X' is the manifold obtained from X by surgery on the embedding ϕ.

Let us now consider the trace T_ϕ of the surgery on $\phi : S^p \times D^{q+1}(\bar{r}) \longrightarrow X$. Recall that the trace of the surgery is obtained by attaching to $X \times I$, the disk product $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$, via the embedding ϕ. Thus $\partial T_\phi = X \sqcup X'$ as depicted earlier in Fig. 8. In [24] we describe in detail a procedure for extending a psc-metric g over T_ϕ to obtain an element $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(T_\phi)_{g,g'}$. For

details, the reader is referred to Theorem 2.2 in [24]. Roughly, the metric $\bar{g}$ is constructed as follows.

1. Using Lemma 2.1.3, equip $X \times I$ with the concordance arising from the Gromov-Lawson isotopy between g and g_{std} and described in Theorem 3.2.1. This is depicted in the left image in Fig. 36.
2. Attach a piece which is almost $(D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}, g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1))$ but which contains an extra smoothing region to avoid corners. This is depicted in the right of Fig. 36.
3. Of course, on this extra smoothing region, the metric is not a product. However, in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [24], we show how to adjust the metric on this region so as to obtain one which is a product near the boundary.



FIGURE 36. The concordance of g and g_{std} (left) and the original Gromov-Lawson trace construction (right)

Remark 7.1.1. In Theorem 2.2 of [24], we actually consider the more general case of a *Gromov-Lawson cobordism*, which consists of a union of Gromov-Lawson traces determined by an appropriate Morse function. In this paper, we need consider only elementary cobordisms.

In hindsight, this method can be made a little neater. Before attaching $D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}$, we make a further preparation. The initial concordance ends as a product $g_{\text{std}} + dt^2$. We consider the cylinder $X \times I$, equipped with this product. Thus, on the standard part, this metric takes the form $ds^2_p + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + dt^2$. We then apply the boot metric isotopy described in Lemma 5.2.2, to this standard part. The resulting metric on $X \times I$, near one end, now takes the form $ds^2_p + \hat{g}^{q+2}_{\text{torp}}(1)$. Finally, we attach this metric to the earlier concordance of g and g_{std} in the obvious way. Essentially, we "put boots on" the original concordance. The resulting metric is denoted $\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}$ and is depicted in the left image of Fig. 37. To be precise, where we add "boots " this metric actually takes the form $ds^2_p + \hat{g}^{q+2}_{\text{torp}}(1)_l$ for some neck length $l > 0$. This new "boot concordance" metric is denoted $g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}$. Performing surgery whilst preserving the product structure on the newly constructed metric metric, $\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}$, is now trivial. We simply cut off the toes and attach the metric $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) \times g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)_l$. The resulting psc-metric, denoted $\bar{g}$, is called a *Gromov-Lawson trace* and is depicted in the lower right of Fig. 37.

7.2. **The first claim of Theorem A.** We are now in a position to introduce the objects described in the statement of Theorem A. We begin by specifying the manifold $W' = W \cup T_\phi$ obtained by gluing the trace T_ϕ above to W in the obvious way. The manifold W' has boundary $\partial W' = X'$. We next specify a collar $c' : X' \times [0, 2) \hookrightarrow T_\phi \subset W'$ around this

boundary in such a way that the metric $(c')^*\bar{g}$ restricts on $X' \times [0,1]$ as the product metric $g' + dt^2$. This takes care of the first claim of Theorem A, and allows us to define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{T_\phi,\bar{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \\ h &\longmapsto h \cup \bar{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $W' = W \cup T_\phi$ and $h \cup \bar{g}$ are the manifold and metric obtained by the obvious gluing. Our main challenge is still ahead of us. We must show that this map is a weak homotopy equivalence.



FIGURE 37. The metric $\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}$ (left) and the neater Gromov-Lawson trace, $\bar{g}$ (right)

7.3. **Adjustments on the collar.** Before continuing with the proof of Theorem A, there is a minor technical issue we must address. Suppose $g_0, g_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ are a pair of concordant psc-metrics. For any concordance $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(X \times I)$ between g_0 and g_1 we would like to specify a map $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0} \to \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_1}$ which, roughly speaking, sends each metric $h \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0}$ to the metric $h \cup \mathfrak{g}$ obtained by the obvious gluing. There is a slight problem. Technically, the metric resulting from this attachment is a metric on the manifold $W \cup (X \times I)$, not W. We get around this problem with a combination of a rescaling and an appropriately chosen diffeomorphism. Firstly, we pull back $\mathfrak{g}$ by a simple rescaling to a concordance on the cylinder $X \times [0,3]$ which satisfies

$$\mathfrak{g}|_{X\times[0,1]} = g_1 + dt^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}|_{X\times[2,3]} = g_0 + dt^2.$$

Next we attach to W, the cylinder $X \times [0,3]$ by identifying $X \times \{3\}$ with $\partial W = X$. We then specify a diffeomorphism $F : W \longrightarrow W \cup (X \times [0,3])$ which satisfies the following.

(i.) The restriction $F|_{W\setminus c(X\times[0,2))}$ is the identity map from $W \setminus c(X \times [0,2))$ to the space $[W \cup (X \times [0,3])] \setminus [c(X \times [0,2) \cup (X \times [0,3])]$.

(ii.) The composition $F \circ c|_{X\times[0,1]}$ is the identity map from $X \times [0,1]$ to $X \times [0,1] \subset W \cup (X \times [0,3])$.

In particular, this means that $F^{-1}(X \times [1,3] \cup c(X \times [0,2))) = c(X \times [1,2))$ as depicted in Fig. 38. This allows us to define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_0} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_1} \\ h &\longmapsto F^*(h \cup \mathfrak{g}), \end{aligned}$$

where $h \cup \mathfrak{g}$ is the psc-metric obtained on $W \cup (X \times [0,3])$ by the obvious gluing. The second condition on F above means that the pullback metric satisfies the appropriate collar



FIGURE 38. The map $F : W \longrightarrow W \cup (X \times [0,3])$

condition on $c[X \times [0,1]]$, i.e.

$$c^* F^* (h \cup \mathfrak{g})|_{X \times [0,1]} = g_1 + dt^2.$$

Thus, the map is well-defined. Over the next two sections we will need to use this "collar adjustment" construction during the proof of Theorem A. This will involve the construction of maps by adding a fixed concordance of the type $\mathfrak{g}$ to psc-metrics h on W. To avoid an overwhelming amount of notation we will simply describe the resulting metric as $h \cup \mathfrak{g}$, assuming that the necessary adjustments have been taken care of.

7.4. **The strategy for proving Theorem A.** The strategy for proving Theroem A is quite similar to that of the proof of Theorem 1.2.1. The spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ are complicated objects. We wish to replace these spaces with simpler, but weakly homotopy equivalent spaces which are easily seen to be weakly homotopy to each other. Before discussing this further, recall we remarked in the introduction that we must deal with the possibilty that the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ may be empty. For now, we will assume that $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ (and hence $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$) is a non-empty space. We will call this the non-empty case of the theorem. Later we will prove that $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \neq \emptyset$.

Notice that, from above, the map $\mu_{T_\phi, \bar{g}}$ decomposes as a composition of maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{T_\phi,\bar{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \\ h &\longmapsto h \cup \bar{g}_{\text{pre}} \longmapsto h \cup \bar{g}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first of these maps by $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$ and the second by $\mu_{\bar{g}}$. In the case of $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$, we make use of the collar adjustment construction described in section 7.3 but suppress the notation. We now obtain the following commutative diagram, where the hooked arrow denotes inclusion.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g & \xrightarrow{\mu_{(T_\phi,\bar{g})}} & \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}} & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\bar{g}}} & \text{image}(\mu_{T_\phi,\bar{g}}) \end{array}$$

We further denote by $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l)$, the image of the first map, $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$, and let $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ denote the space image$(\mu_{T_\phi,\bar{g}})$. The diagram now takes the following form.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g & \xrightarrow{\mu_{(T_\phi,\bar{g})}} & \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}} & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\bar{g}}} & \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \end{array} \tag{7.4.1}$$

The following lemma, an analogue of Lemma 4.1.1, is immediate; see Fig. 39 for a more precise illustration of what is going on.

Lemma 7.4.1. *The map $\mu_{\bar{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ is a homeomorphism.*



FIGURE 39. An element h of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l)$ (left) and its image $\mu_{\bar{g}}(h) \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ (right)

To complete the proof of theorem A, we must show that the vertical maps in diagram (7.4.1) are weak homotopy equivalences. This is reasonably straightforward for the left inclusion and we begin with that case below. As discussed in the introduction, the case of the right inclusion is more challenging. Our proof is modeled on the proof of the analogous Theorem 1.2.1. In particular, it will be done in stages involving some intermediary spaces.

7.5. **The left side of the diagram.** We start on the left hand side of diagram (7.4.1). The map $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$ further decomposes into two maps as follows. We denote by $g_{\text{con}} \in \mathcal{R}^+(X \times I)$, the concordance between g and g_{std}, obtained via Lemma 2.1.3. After making use of the collar adjustment construction of section 7.3, we obtain a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{g_{\text{con}}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} \\ h &\longmapsto h \cup g_{\text{con}}. \end{aligned}$$

We describe the remaining part of the Gromov-Lawson trace construction, where we add the "boot concordance" $g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}$ as the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}(l)}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} \\ h &\longmapsto h \cup g_{\text{stdboot}(l)},\end{aligned}$$

once again making use of the collar adjustment from section 7.3. Thus, we have that

$$\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}} = \mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{con}}}.$$

Importantly, the concordance g_{con} is slicewise. By this, we mean that it takes the form (or at least pulls back by an obvious rescaling to) $g_s + ds^2$ on some $X \times [0, \bar{s}]$ where $g_s \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ for all $s \in [0, \bar{s}]$, $g_0 = g$ and $g_{\bar{s}} = g_{\text{std}}$. This means that there is an obvious homotopy through concordances to the standard cylinder $g + ds^2$. In particular, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 7.5.1. *The map $\mu_{g_{\text{con}}}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We define a homotopy inverse to $\mu_{g_{\text{con}}}$,

$$\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{con}}} : \mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\text{std}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g,$$

defined by attaching the concordance g_{con} at the opposite end. By using the slicewise nature of the concordance, it is now easy to homotopy the maps $\mu_{g_{\text{con}}} \circ \mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{con}}}$ and $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{con}}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{con}}}$ to the appropriate identity maps via homotopies which specify isotopies of appropriate parts of the concordance to the cylinder metric, followed by any necessary rescaling. The case of $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{con}}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{con}}}$ is illustrated in Fig. 40. □



FIGURE 40. The metric h (top left), followed by the metric $\mu_{g_{\text{con}}}(h)$ (top middle), the metric $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{con}}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{con}}}(h)$ (top right), the isotopy of the concordance back to the cylinder (bottom left) and rescaling (bottom right) back to h

The argument employed to prove Lemma 7.5.1 works just as well in proving the following more general fact.

Lemma 7.5.2. *Suppose $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ are isotopic metrics. The spaces $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_1}$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_2}$ are weakly homotopy equivalent.*

Remark 7.5.3. Lemma 7.5.2 can also be obtained as a corollary to a much stronger result due to Chernysh in [6]. We will come back to this result a little later.

Lemma 7.5.4. *The map $\mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. The proof here is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 7.5.1. We define a homotopy inverse $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{stdboot}(l)}}$ as before by turning the concordance $g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}$ around and gluing at the opposite end. Lemma 5.2.2 allows us to isotopy this concordance back to the standard cylinder and so the proof goes through exactly as in Lemma 7.5.1. To aid the reader, we illustrate the case of $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{stdboot}(l)}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}}$ in Fig. 41. □



FIGURE 41. The metric h (top left), followed by the metric $\mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}}(h)$ (top right), the metric $\mu_{g^{-1}_{\text{stdboot}(l)}} \circ \mu_{g_{\text{stdboot}(l)}}(h)$ (middle left), the isotopy of the "double boot" concordance back to the cylinder (middle right) and rescaling (bottom left) back to h (bottom right)

Combining Lemmas 7.5.1 and 7.5.4 gives the following.

Lemma 7.5.5. *The map $\mu_{g_{\text{pre}}}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

7.6. **The right side of the diagram.** It remains for us to show that the inclusion,

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'},$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. Let m be any element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$. Recall that m was obtained from an element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}(l)}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}$ by cutting off the toes and attaching a

piece $(D^{p+1}\times D^{q+1}, g^{p+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)\times g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)_l)$. Consider the embedded hemisphere sphere $S^{q+1}_+\cong\{0\}\times D^{q+1}\hookrightarrow W'$ obtained by this attachment. This is depicted in the left image of Fig. 42. This hemisphere is embedded into W' in a particularly nice way with respect to the metric m. Obviously, this metric pulls back to a metric $g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)_l$ on S^{p+1}_+. Suppose now that we let m play the role of the reference metric as in the case of Theorem 3.2.1. Thus, we denote by N, the normal bundle to S^{p+1}_+ in W'. We now consider the composition

$$\phi_r : D^{p+1}(r)\times S^{q+1}_+\hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}\times S^{q+1}_+\longrightarrow N\xrightarrow{\exp_m} W' ,$$

the second map of which is a choice of orthonormal frame. As before, we choose $\bar{r}>0$ sufficiently small that the map $\phi_{\bar{r}}$ is an embedding. We further denote by N_r, the image of ϕ_r, for each $r\in[0,\bar{r}]$ and assume that $\bar{r}$ is chosen so that

$$\phi^*_{\bar{r}}m = g^{p+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)+g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1).$$

Moving beyond m, it is clear that the restriction of any metric $h\in\mathcal{R}(W')_{g_{\mathrm{std}}}$, to the product part of the collar pulls back to the metric $g^{p+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)+dt^2+ds^2_q$ on an annular region about the boundary of $D_{p+1}(\bar{r})\times S^{q+1}_+\hookrightarrow W'$. This is depicted in the right of Fig. 42.



FIGURE 42. The embedding of $D^{p+1}(\bar{r})\times S^{q+1}_+$ in the case of a metric from $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ (left) and an arbitrary metric from $\mathcal{R}(W')_{g_{\mathrm{std}}}$ (right)

We will now define an intermediary space between $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$. Consider the effect of applying the Gromov-Lawson isotopy, described in Theorem 3.2.1 on the embedding $\phi_{\bar{r}}$ for an arbitrary metric $h\in\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$. Notice that we make use here of the assumption that $p\geq 2$. At this stage we should point out that, although the Gromov-Lawson construction was defined for embeddings from closed manifolds, the fact that S^{q+1}_+ has a boundary is not a problem here. This is because of the product structure of the metric $h\in\mathcal{R}(W')_{g_{\mathrm{std}}}$ and the way in which S^{q+1}_+ is neatly embedded. It may help the reader to imagine taking a double of (W,h) at this stage, applying the construction on the double and then restricting one's attention to the original (W,h). In any event, the result of the construction is a metric which takes the form $g^{p+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(\delta)+g^{q+1}_{\mathrm{torp}}(1)$ on a neighbourhood of the image of S^{q+1}_+. To tidy things up, we make use of the adjustment in Lemma 4.1.2. We may

now assume that the resulting metric takes the form, $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$, on a neighbourhood $N_\rho \subset N_{\bar{r}}$ for some $\rho \in (0, \bar{r}]$. On the product part of the collar, this metric may differ slightly from the original metric h. As adjustments here have only taken place on the standard region, a simple isotopy moves the metric back into $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$. The resulting metric is depicted in Fig. 43. This motivates the following definition. We denote by $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$, the subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ defined

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l) := \{h \in \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} : \phi^*_\rho h = g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ fits between $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}.$$



FIGURE 43. An element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$

Lemma 7.6.1. *The inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. This essentially follows from Theorem 1.2.1. The fact that a boundary is involved is not a problem, as discussed above. Again, if it helps, the reader should consider applying the theorem to the double manifold and restrict attention to the relevant part. The only difference here is that, instead of the round metric ds^2_{q+1} on the embedded sphere, we use a double torpedo metric $g^{q+1}_{\text{dtorp}}(1)$. Again, this is not a problem; see Remark 3.2.2. □

It remains to show that the inclusion

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l),$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. To aid the reader, and to make sure it is clear that this inclusion makes sense, we begin by comparing an example of each type of metric in Fig. 44 below. Obviously, elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ take a standard form on a larger region than elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$. This is indicated by the inequality $l' \leq l < L$ depicted in

this figure which compares the length of the collar, l' (where the metric is a product), with the length L of the larger "partially cylindrical" piece of a metric in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ where the metric is standard. In the introduction we described this piece as the "larger collar." We



FIGURE 44. An element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ and an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ (right)

now focus on an arbitrary metric $h \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$. Working only where this metric is standard (and thus where it agrees with every other metric in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$), we may specify a region R_2 diffeomorphic to $X \times I$ which decomposes W' into 3 pieces R_1, R_2 and R_3 where $R_1 \sqcup R_2 = W' \setminus \text{int}(R_1)$; see Fig. 45. The first piece, R_1, is diffeomorphic to the trace of the original surgery on X, while the third piece, R_3, is diffeomorphic to W. We will assume each piece contains its boundary and so $\{R_1, R_2, R_3\}$ is not strictly a partition of W'.

We will take a closer look at the region R_2. As shown in Fig. 46, this region can be decomposed into 2 pieces: R_2' and R_2''. One of these, R_2', highlighted in Fig. 46, is diffeomorphic to $I \times S^p \times D^{q+1}$. The other, R_2'' is diffeomorphic to $I \times (X \setminus (S^p \times D^{q+1}))$. More precisely, R_2'' is diffeomorphic to $I \times (X \setminus N_{\rho'})$ for some ρ' satisfying $0 < \rho' < \rho$. Thus on R_2'', any metric in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ takes the form $dt^2 + g_{\text{std}}|_{X \setminus N_{\rho'}}$. In particular, the fact that $\rho' < \rho$ means that near $R_2' \cap R_2'' \cong I \times S^p \times S^q$, any such metric is $dt^2 + ds_p^2 + ds_q^2$. On the region R_2', all metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ take the form of a restriction to a particular subregion of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ equipped with the metric $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$. This subregion is depicted in Fig 47. On $\mathbb{R}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$, the cap of each torpedo is centered at the origin while the necks are infinite. The coordinates s and t in the diagram represent radial distance in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ respectively. The boundary of R_2' consists of $\partial_1(R_2') = R_2' \cap R_2'' \cong I \times S^p \times S^q$ and a piece $\partial_2(R_2') \cong S^p \times D^{q+1} \times \{0\} \sqcup S^p \times D^{q+1} \times \{0\}$. We have further decomposed R_2' into 3 subregions. Near $\partial_1 R_2'$, there is a region we denote $R_2'(\text{outer})$ on which the metric takes the form $ds^2 + dt^2 + ds_p^2 + ds_q^2$. Next we have the region $R_2'(\text{middle})$ in which the metric takes the same form but where the boundary curves in the diagram bend over an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Finally we have remaining region, $R_2'(\text{inner})$ where the metric takes the form $ds^2 + ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$.



FIGURE 45. An arbitrary element $h \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$



FIGURE 46. The decomposition of the region R_2 into subregions R_2' and R_2''

We will now describe an isotopy of the arbitrary metric $h \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ to an element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$. This isotopy is denoted

$$\sigma : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$$

and will satisfy the following conditions.

(i.) $\sigma(0) = h$.

(ii.) For all $t \in I$, the metric $\sigma(t)$ restricts on the region R_2'' to a cylinder metric of the form $dr^2 + h_1|_{X \setminus N_{\rho'}}$ where $r \in [0, \lambda]$ for some smooth parameter $\lambda = \lambda(t) > 0$.

(iii.) On the regions $R_1 \cup R_3$, $\sigma(t) = h|_{R_1 \cup R_3}$ for all $t \in I$.

Thus, we make adjustments only on R_2. In order to satisfy condition (iii.) above, we in fact work away from the boundary of R_2, as suggested by the subregion inscribed inside R_2 in



FIGURE 47. Representing the metric on R_2' as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ with the metric $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}} + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}$

Fig. 46. The parameter $\lambda(t)$ in condition (ii.) allows us to stretch the cylindrical metric on R_2'' to be as long as we require. It remains to specify conditions for the isotopy σ on the region R_2'. Obviously, near $R_2' \cap R_2''$, the metric $\sigma(t)|_{R_2'}$ must take the form $dr^2 + ds_p^2 + ds_q^2$ as in condition (ii.). Thus on R_2'(outer) and R_2'(middle) we do nothing more than carefully stretch out the cylinder in line with the above condition (ii.). The non-trivial part happens inside R_2'(inner), Recall, here the metric takes the form $ds^2 + ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$. We leave the ds_p^2 factor untouched. On the cylinder factor $ds^2 + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$ we perform two iterations of the boot metric isotopy described in Lemma 5.2.2 from section 5.2. The resulting metric on this cylinder is depicted in Fig. 48 below while the result of the isotopy on h is depicted in Fig. 49.

The isotopy does indeed move metrics from $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ into $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$. Moreover, as this isotopy only takes place where all elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ agree and are standard, it is clear that it works for compact families of psc-metrics. There is one problem however in using this isotopy to show that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Metrics which are already in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ may be temporarily moved out of that space. As everything happens on the standard region, the damage is not severe and we can get around this problem by, once again, introducing an intermediary space which contains $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$, is invariant of the isotopy construction above and which is itself weakly homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$. This space is denoted $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ and will satisfy

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l).$$

It is here that we finally make use of our earlier work on step metrics, in section 5.3.

We specify a cylinder $C \subset N_{\bar{r}}$, where $C \cong S^p \times D^{q+1} \times I$ and where each element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ takes the form $dr^2 + ds_p^2 + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$. We assume that the region R_2' defined above is contained in C. This is depicted in the left image of Fig. 50. We now define the



FIGURE 48. The restriction of the metrics $\sigma(0) = h$ (left) and $\sigma(1)$ (right) to the region R_2



FIGURE 49. The elements $\sigma(0) = h \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ and $\sigma(1) \in \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$

space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ to be the subspace of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ consisting of psc-metrics which satisfy the following conditions.

(i.) Outside of C, metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ satisfy the same conditions as metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$

(ii.) On C, metrics in $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ take the form $ds^2_p + g^{q+2}_{\text{step}}(1)$ where $g^{q+1}_{\text{step}}(1)$ is some element of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{step}}(D^{q+1} \times I)$, the space of step metrics.

Such elements are depicted in the right hand image of Fig. 50. We now have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6.2. *The inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the sequence of inclusions

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}}(W')_{g'}(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho)}(W', S^{q+1}_+)_{g'}(l),$$

is true. It is a simple application of Proposition 5.3.1 to show that the first of these inclusions is a weak homotopy equivalence. Finally, as the restriction of the isotopy described above on a cylinder of torpedo metrics is precisely the isotopy used to define step metrics, the space $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}(l)}(W')_{g'}$ is invariant under the above isotopy. □



FIGURE 50. Elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ (left) and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{stepstd}(l)}(W')_{g'}$ (right)

Combining Lemmas 7.6.1 and 7.6.2 gives us that the vertical map on the right side of diagram (7.4.1) is a weak homotopy equivalence, thus completing the proof of Theorem A, in the non-empty case.

Finally, we consider the possibility that $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g = \emptyset$. Assume that $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \neq \emptyset$ and let $W'' = W' \cup T_\phi^{-1}$ be the manifold obtained by attaching the "upside-down" version of the trace of ϕ, denoted T_ϕ^{-1} onto W' in the obvious way. This upside-down trace also admits a Gromov-Lawson trace metric, $\bar{g}^{-1}$, between g' and some psc-metric g'' on X. Thus, for some metric $h \in \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$ we have a psc-metric $h \cup \bar{g}^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}^+(W'')_{g''}$. By performing surgeries on the interior of $T_\phi \cup T_\phi^{-1}$ we may make this region cylindrical and so turn W'' back into W. Importantly, the fact that $p, q \geq 2$ means that such surgeries are in codimension at least three. Hence we may apply the original Gromov-Lawson construction, away from the boundary, to obtain from $h \cup \bar{g}^{-1}$, an element of $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g''}$. It follows from Theorem 0.4 of section 3.2 in [24], that the metrics g and g'' are isotopic. Thus, by Lemma 7.5.2, the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \neq \emptyset$ and so we have that $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

8. The Proofs of Theorems B and C

We now consider the other results stated in the introduction, beginning with the second main result.

8.1. **Proof of Theorem B.** This is essentially a mimic of the proof by Gromov and Lawson of their coincidentally named Theorem B in [11].

Proof of Theorem B. The weak homotopy equivalence in (i) follows from the same reasoning employed by Gromov and Lawson in their proof of Theorem B in [11]. Here, the authors showed that any two spin bordant simply connected n-manifolds M and N are mutually obtainable by surgeries in codimension at least three. They demonstrate this by performing surgeries in the interior of a spin manifold Y^{n+1}, where $\partial Y = M \sqcup N$, to remove non-trivial elements in the integral homology groups $H_k(Y)$, where $k = 1, 2, n-1, n$. The spin and dimension conditions mean that all such elements are realised by embedded spheres with trivial normal bundle. In our case, as X is null-bordant, we may perform surgeries of type 1 on W to obtain a manifold W' with boundary X' diffeomorphic to S^n. As W' forms one half of a closed simply connected spin manifold (its double), there is a sequence of surgeries on the interior of W', which transform it into the disk D^{n+1}. As with the Gromov-Lawson proof in [11], all of these surgeries satisfy the appropriate co-dimension restrictions required by Theorem A and so the theorem follows.

Part (ii) is proved by the following argument. As g is Gromov-Lawson cobordant to the round metric ds^2_n, part (i) gives us that $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{R}^+(D^{n+1})_{ds^2_n}$. In turn the space $\mathcal{R}^+(D^{n+1})_{ds^2_n}$ is homeomorphic to a subspace $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(S^n) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(S^n)$, of psc-metrics which take the form of a standard torpedo on the southern hemisphere. The conclusion then follows from the fact, demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 1.2.1, that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(S^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(S^n)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

8.2. **Proof of Theorem C.** At this point it is useful to recall the following observation by Chernysh in [6]. Let res denote the restriction map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res} : \mathcal{R}^+(W) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(\partial W) \\ g &\longmapsto g|_{\partial W.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, the space $\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$ is precisely $\text{res}^{-1}(g)$. We next denote by $\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W)$, the image space $\text{res}(\mathcal{R}^+(W)) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(\partial W)$. It is a theorem of Chernysh, [6] Theorem 1.1, that the map

$$\text{res} : \mathcal{R}^+(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W),$$

obtained by restriction of the codomain, is a quasifibration. This means that for any psc-metrics $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(\partial W)$ and $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$, there are isomorphisms

$$\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W), \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{g}) \cong \pi_k(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W, g)),$$

for all $k \geq 0$. In particular, we obtain the following long exact sequence in homotopy groups.

$$\cdots \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{g}) \longrightarrow \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W), \bar{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_*} \pi_k(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W), g) \longrightarrow \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{g}) \cdots$$

$$\cdots \pi_1(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W), g) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{g}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{R}^+(W), \bar{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_*} \pi_0(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W), g).$$

We note here that the set $\pi_0(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\partial W), g)$ is usually not trivial.

Recall that the space $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$, where $X = \partial W$, is of course the image of the above restriction map. Similarly, we define the space $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$ where $X' = \partial W'$, in the same way. We will also denote by $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$ the respective spaces $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X) \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X') \cap \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$. Recall, the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X')$ were the subject of Lemma 4.1.1. The following lemma is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2.1.

Lemma 8.2.1. *Let W and W' satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Then the inclusions $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$ are homotopy equivalences. In particular, the spaces $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$ are homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ extends to an element of $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$. Then, using the fact that isotopy implies concordance, any metric $h \in \mathcal{R}^+(X)$, which is psc-isotopic to g, extends to an element $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)_h$. This partitions the path components of $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ into the subsets of those consisting of psc-metrics which extend to elements of $\mathcal{R}^+(W)$ and those which do not. The former is of course the subspace $\mathcal{R}_0^+(W)$. Furthermore, if a psc-metric g is an element of $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$, then any metric g' obtained by Gromov-Lawson surgery is an element of $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$. In case this is unclear, recall that if $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}^+(W)_g$, the procedure described in Theorem A gives rise to a psc-metric $\bar{g}' \in \mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'}$. Hence, the Gromov-Lawson construction gives rise to a one to one correspondence between path components of $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(X')$. In particular, subspaces $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$ arise by simply removing corresponding (homotopy equivalent) path components of $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+(X')$. The arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.2.1 and Lemma 4.1.3 which demonstrate that the inclusion $\mathcal{R}_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence go through just as well to show that $\mathcal{R}_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^+(X')$ are homotopy equivalences. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.1, that the spaces $\mathcal{R}_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$ are homeomorphic, completing the proof. □

Suppose now that we fix psc-metrics $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_0(X)$ and $g_{\mathrm{std}} \in \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ where g_{std} is obtained from g in the usual way, by the Gromov-Lawson construction. Denoting by $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(W)$, the pre-image $\mathrm{res}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X))$, we obtain the following commutative diagram (where, as always, hooked arrows denote inclusion).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+(W) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathcal{R}^+_0(X) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{g_{\mathrm{con}}}} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\mathrm{std}}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(W) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)
\end{array}$$

The vertical map on the left, $\mu_{g_{\mathrm{con}}}$, is defined in the proof of Theorem A. In particular, it is the map that attaches to the boundary of W the concordance between g and g_{std} obtained from the Gromov-Lawson isotopy specified by Theorem 3.2.1. By Lemma 7.5.1, we know that this map is a weak homotopy equivalence. The rightmost vertical map is inclusion and is also a homotopy equivalence by Lemma 8.2.1 above. We will shortly show that the middle vertical map, which is also an inclusion, is a weak homotopy equivalence as well. In order to do so, we need the following fact.

Lemma 8.2.2. *The map* $\mathrm{res} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ *is a quasifibration.*

Proof. This map is in fact the pull-back under the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_0(X)$, of the known quasifibration $\mathrm{res} : \mathcal{R}^+(W) \to \mathcal{R}^+_0(X)$. To avoid an abundance of notation we maintain the name res for both maps. Unfortunately, pull-backs of quasifibrations are not necessarily quasifibrations and so we do not get this fact directly. However, the original proof by Chernysh, in [6], goes through exactly as before when we replace $\mathcal{R}^+_0(X)$ with the homotopy equivalent space $\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$ and so the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 8.2.3. *The inclusion map* $\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(W) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W)$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. As both rows of the above diagram are quasifibrations, we obtain the following diagram at the level of homotopy groups. The rows of this diagram are of course exact. We know in advance that the vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms in all cases except $\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(0)}(X)) \to \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W), \bar{h})$. That this is necessarily an isomorphism is implied by the 5-lemma.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{h}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W), \bar{g}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_0(X), g) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_g, \bar{g}) \\
\updownarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \uparrow & & \updownarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \updownarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\
\pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\mathrm{std}}}, \bar{g}_{\mathrm{std}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_{\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(W), \bar{g}_{\mathrm{std}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}_*} & \pi_k(\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho,1)}(X), g_{\mathrm{std}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{R}^+(W)_{g_{\mathrm{std}}}, \bar{g}_{\mathrm{std}})
\end{array}$$

□

Turning our attention to W', we let $g' = g'_{\mathrm{std}} \in \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\mathrm{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$ denote the psc-metric obtained by Gromov-Lawson surgery on g. As before, we obtain the following commutative

diagram where the righthand vertical inclusion is a weak homotopy equivalence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+(W') & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{R}^+_0(X') \\
\downarrow\uparrow = & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathcal{R}^+(W')_{g'} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W') & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')
\end{array}$$

Once again, $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W') = \text{res}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X'))$ and so, applying Lemmas 8.2.2 and 8.2.3, we see that the map $\text{res} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W') \to \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')$ is a quasifibration and consequently, the middle vertical map

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+(W'),$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Next, we recall the spaces $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$ and the map

$$\mu_{\bar{g}} : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_{g_{\text{std}}}(l) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l),$$

which, in Lemma 7.4.1 is shown to be a homeomorphism. We will now generalise these spaces by allowing the boundary metrics g_{std} and g' to vary over standard psc-metrics on X and X'. For each $g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)$, we define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_g(l)$ to be the space of psc-metrics which lie in the image of the map $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$ as before, with one minor caveat. In this case, as the metric is already standard, we assume that the $\mu_{g_{\text{con}}}$ factor of the map $\mu_{\bar{g}_{\text{pre}}}$ simply attaches a cylinder. We define $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l)$, as before, to be the image of $\mu_{\bar{g}}$.

We are now able to define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(W)(l) &:= \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho,1)}(X)} \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{boot}}(W)_g(l), \\
\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W')(l) &:= \bigcup_{g' \in \mathcal{R}^+_{0,\text{std}(\rho',1)}(X')} \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}}(W')_{g'}(l).
\end{aligned}$$

We define a map

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(W)(l) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W')(l) \\
h &\longmapsto \mu_{\bar{h}|_{\partial W}}(h),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{\bar{h}|_{\partial W}}$ is the lower horizontal map from diagram 7.4.1 with respect to $h|_{\partial W}$. This map simply removes the boots (the metric $ds^2_p + \hat{g}^{q+2}_{\text{torp}}(1)$) from elements of $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(W)(l)$ and attaches $g^{p+1}_{\text{torp}}(1) + g^{q+1}_{\text{torp}}(1)$. The following lemma, the obvious generalisation of Lemma 7.4.1 is now immediate.

Lemma 8.2.4. *The map $\mu : \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(W)(l) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W')(l)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof of Theorem C. By Lemma 8.2.3, we see the inclusions $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho,1)}(W)(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(W)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{\text{std}(\rho',1)}(W')(l) \subset \mathcal{R}^+(W')$, are weak homotopy equivalences. Theorem C now follows from Lemma 8.2.4 above. □

Finally, Corollary D. follows easily by the argument used to prove part (i) of Theorem B.

9. Appendix 1: Isotopy and Concordance

Here we provide a comprehensive proof of Lemma 2.1.1. This fact is well known and various versions of it are found in the literature; see [11], [9]. As always, X is a smooth compact manifold. We restrict attention to smooth isotopies of psc-metrics in X, knowing that any continuous path of such metrics can be approximated by a smooth one.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Let $g_r, r \in I$ be a smooth path in $\mathcal{R}^+(X)$. Then there exists a constant $0 < \Lambda \leq 1$ so that for every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ with $|\dot{f}|, |\ddot{f}| \leq \Lambda$, the metric $G = g_{f(t)} + dt^2$ on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. Choose a point $(x_0, t_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $(x_0^1, \cdots, x_0^n, x_0^{n+1} = t)$, coordinates around (x_0, t_0), where $x_0^1, \cdots, x_0^n$ are normal coordinates on X with respect to the metric $g_{f(t_0)}$. The respective coordinate vector fields will be denoted $\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_n, \partial_{n+1} = \partial_t$. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection of the metric G on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ and let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{f(t_0)}$ on $X \times \{t_0\}$. All of our calculations will take place at the point (x_0, t_0).

We need to compute the scalar curvature of G in terms of the scalar curvature of the metric $g_{f(t_0)}$, and the first and second derivatives of the function f. We begin by computing the Christoffel symbols $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k$ of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Recall that these are given in terms of the metric G by the formula

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k = \frac{1}{2} G^{kl}(\partial_j G_{il} + \partial_i G_{jl} - \partial_l G_{ij}).$$

When $i, j, k \leq n$, it is clear that $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,j}^k = \Gamma_{i,j}^k$. We now turn our attention to the remaining cases. Suppose $i, j \leq n$ and $k = n+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{i,j}^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{n+1,n+1}(0 + 0 - \partial_t G_{ij}(x_0, t_0)) \\ &= \frac{-1}{2} \partial_r g_{r(ij)}(x_0, f(t_0)).\dot{f}(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

When $i \leq n$ and $j, k = n+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{i,n+1}^{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{n+1,n+1}(0 + 0 - 0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $i, k \leq n$ and $j = n+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{i,n+1}^{k} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{kl}(\partial_t G_{il}(x_0, t_0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G^{kl} \partial_r g_{r(il)}(x_0, f(t_0)).\dot{f}(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $k \leq n$ and $i, j = n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}_{n+1,n+1}^{k} &= \frac{1}{2} G^{kl}(0 + 0 - 0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^{n+1}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,n+1}^k$ are both $O(|\dot{f}|)$, while $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,n+1}^{n+1} = 0 = \bar{\Gamma}_{n+1,n+1}^k$.

Let $\bar{K}_{ij}$ and K_{ij} denote the respective sectional curvatures for the metrics G and $g_{f(t_0)}$. Viewing $X \times \{t_0\}$ as a hypersurface of $X \times \mathbb{R}$, the Gauss curvature equation gives us the following formula for $\bar{K}_{ij}$, when $i, j \leq n$.

$$\bar{K}_{ij} = K_{ij} - G(\Pi(\partial_i, \partial_i), \Pi(\partial_j, \partial_j)) + G(\Pi(\partial_i, \partial_j), \Pi(\partial_i, \partial_j)),$$

where Π denotes the second fundamental form on $X \times \{t_0\}$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= G(\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_i}\partial_j, \partial_{n+1})\partial_{n+1} \\ &= \bar{\Gamma}^{n+1}_{ij}\partial_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\bar{K}_{ij} = K_{ij} + O(|\dot{f}|^2).$$

In the case when $i \leq n$ and $j = n+1$, we use the following formula, derived in Proposition 9.0.5 below, for the sectional curvature.

$$\bar{K}_{i,n+1} = \partial_i \bar{\Gamma}^i_{n+1,n+1} - \partial_{n+1}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{i,n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left(\bar{\Gamma}^k_{n+1,n+1}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{ik} - \bar{\Gamma}^k_{i,n+1}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{n+1,k}\right)$$

As the expression

$$-\partial_{n+1}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{i,n+1} = -\partial_t \frac{1}{2} G^{il}\partial_r g_{r(il)}(x_0, f(t_0)).\dot{f}(t_0),$$

we obtain

$$\bar{K}_{i,n+1} = O(|\dot{f}|) + O(|\ddot{f}|).$$

Finally, let $\bar{R}$ denote the the scalar curvature of the metric G, while R denotes the scalar curvature of $g_{f(t_0)}$. It now follows that, at the point (x_0, t_0),

$$\bar{R} = R + O(|\dot{f}|) + O(|\dot{f}|^2) + O(|\ddot{f}|).$$

This completes the proof. □

As promised, we provide the following calculation for the sectional curvatures at the point $p \in X$, below.

Proposition 9.0.5. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian n-manifold. Let $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ denote a normal coordinate neighbourhood about a point p. The sectional curvature K_{ij} of the metric g at p, is given by the formula*

$$K_{ij}(p) = \partial_i \Gamma^i_{jj} - \partial_j \Gamma^i_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\Gamma^k_{jj}\Gamma^i_{ik} - \Gamma^k_{ij}\Gamma^i_{jk}\right)$$

Proof. In these coordinates,

$$K_{ij} = \frac{g(\mathcal{R}(\partial_i, \partial_j)\partial_j, \partial_i)}{g_{ii}g_{jj} - g_{ij}^2}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor for the metric g. At p this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}(p) &= g(\mathcal{R}(\partial_i, \partial_j)\partial_j, \partial_i) \\ &= g(\nabla_{\partial_i}\nabla_{\partial_j}\partial_j - \nabla_{\partial_j}\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j, \partial_i) \\ &= g(\nabla_{\partial_i}(\Gamma^k_{jj}\partial_k) - \nabla_{\partial_j}(\Gamma^k_{ij}\partial_k), \partial_i) \\ &= g(\Gamma^k_{jj}\Gamma^l_{ik}\partial_l + \partial_i(\Gamma^k_{jj}\partial_k) - \Gamma^k_{ij}\Gamma^l_{jk}\partial_l - \partial_j(\Gamma^k_{ij}\partial_k), \partial_i) \\ &= \partial_i\Gamma^i_{jj} - \partial_j\Gamma^i_{ij} + \Gamma^k_{jj}\Gamma^i_{ik} - \Gamma^k_{ij}\Gamma^i_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

□

10. Appendix 2: Bending Cylinder Metrics

In this section, we fill in some of the calculational details from section 5.2. Recall, that in section 5.2 we considered the following situation. Suppose we have a metric $g + dt^2$, on the cylinder $S^n \times [0, c\frac{\pi}{2}]$, where g is obtained in the following way. Let $F : (0, b) \times S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be the embedding described in equation (2.2.3) from section 2, defined $F(r, \theta) = (\beta(r).\theta, \alpha(r))$, which pulls back the standard Euclidean metric to the metric g. For notational reasons it is convenient to swap the positions of the $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}$ factors from that of (2.2.3). Here α and β satisfy the conditions described in 2.2.4 in this section. The cylinder metric $g^c_{\text{cyl}} = g + dt^2$ on $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is obtained via the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyl}_c : (0, b) \times S^{n-1} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}], \\ (r, \theta, t) &\longmapsto (\beta(r).\theta, c + \alpha(r), t). \end{aligned}$$

where $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and consists of the set of points $\theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n)$ which satisfy

$$\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \cdots + \theta_n^2 = 1,$$

and $c >$ diameter(g). In particular, the round cylinder of radius δ is obtained by the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyl}_c : (0, \delta\pi) \times S^{n-1} \times [0, c\tfrac{\pi}{2}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \tfrac{\pi}{2}], \\ (r, \theta, t) &\longmapsto (c + \delta\cos\tfrac{r}{\delta}, \theta.\delta\sin\tfrac{r}{\delta}, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 2\delta > 0$.

We define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bend} : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) &\longrightarrow (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ (x_0, x, l) &\longmapsto (x_0\cos l, x, x_0\sin l). \end{aligned}$$

Here $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The metric g_{bcyl} is then obtained by pulling back the standard Euclidean metric on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ via the composition bend $\circ$ cyl. The metric $g_{\text{bcyl}} = (\text{bend} \circ \text{cyl})^*(g_{\text{Euc}}) = \text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}}))$ is now computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}})) &= \dot\alpha(r)^2dr^2 + \dot\beta(r)^2dr^2 + \beta(r)^2ds^2_{n-1} + (c + \alpha(r))^2dl^2 \\ &= dr^2 + \beta(r)^2ds^2_{n-1} + (c + \alpha(r))^2dl^2, \end{aligned}$$

Details of this computation are contained in an appendix to this paper.

Lemma 10.0.6. *Let $n \geq 3$. For some sufficiently large $c > 0$, the bent cylinder metric g_{bcyl} has positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. We will now compute the induced metric g_{bcyl}. Letting g_{Euc} denote the standard Euclidean metric on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$, we note that

$$g_{\text{bcyl}} = (\text{bend}\circ\text{cyl})^*(g_{\text{Euc}}) = \text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}})).$$

We will begin by computing $\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}})$. Letting $g_{\text{Euc}} = dx_0^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + dl^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}}) &= \text{bend}^*(dx_0^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + dl^2)\\
&= d(x_0\cos l)^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + d(x_0\sin l)^2\\
&= (\cos l.dx_0 - x_0\sin l dl)^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + (\sin l.dx_0 + x_0\cos l.dl)^2\\
&= \cos^2 l dx_0^2 + x_0^2\sin^2 l.dl^2 - 2x_0.\cos l\sin l.dx_0 dl\\
&\quad + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2)\\
&\quad + \sin^2 l dx_0^2 + x_0^2\cos^2 l.dl^2 + 2x_0\cos l\sin l dx_0 dl\\
&= dx_0^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + x_0^2 dl^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute $\text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}}))$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cyl}^*(\text{bend}^*(g_{\text{Euc}})) &= \text{cyl}^*(dx_0^2 + (dx_1^2+\cdots+dx_n^2) + x_0^2dl^2)\\
&= \text{cyl}^*(dx_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i^2 + x_0^2dl^2)\\
&= \text{cyl}^*(dx_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{ij}dx_idx_j + x_0^2dl^2)\\
&= d(c+\alpha(r))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{ij}d(\theta_i\beta(r))d(\theta_j\beta(r)) + (c+\alpha(r))^2dl^2\\
&= \dot\alpha(r)^2dr^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{ij}(\theta_i\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_i)(\theta_j\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_j)\\
&\qquad + (c+\alpha(r))^2dl^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.0.1}$$

We will temporarily consider the term

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{ij}(\theta_i\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_i)(\theta_j\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_j).$$

This simplifies as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{ij}(\theta_i\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_i)(\theta_j\dot\beta(r)dr + \beta(r).d\theta_j)\\
=&\dot\beta(r)^2\sum_{i=1}^n\theta_i^2dr^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^n\beta(r)\dot\beta(r)\theta_id\theta_idr + \beta(r)^2\sum_{i=1}^n d\theta_i^2\\
=&\dot\beta(r)dr^2 + \beta(r)^2\sum_{i=1}^n d\theta_i^2\\
=&\dot\beta(r)dr^2 + \beta(r)^2ds_{n-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The third line above follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2 = 1$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i d\theta_i = 0$. The fourth line then follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n d\theta_i^2$ restricted to S^{n-1} is precisely the standard round metric of radius 1. Returning to (10.0.1), we now have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{cyl}^*(\mathrm{bend}^*(g_{\mathrm{Euc}})) &= \dot\alpha(r)^2 dr^2 + \dot\beta(r)^2 dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2 + (c+\alpha(r))^2 dl^2 \\ &= dr^2 + \beta(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2 + (c+\alpha(r))^2 dl^2,\end{aligned}$$

as, recall, $\dot\alpha^2 + \dot\beta^2 = 1$. So, in the case of the round cylinder $g + dt^2 = \delta^2 ds_n^2 + dt^2$, this metric is $dr^2 + \delta^2 \sin^2 \frac{r}{\delta} ds_{n-1}^2 + (c + \delta \cos \frac{r}{\delta})^2 dl^2$.

The scalar curvature R of a metric, on $(0,a) \times S^{n-1} \times (0,b)$ of the form

$$dr^2 + \phi(r)^2 ds_{n-1}^2 + \psi(r)^2 dl^2,$$

where $\phi, \psi : (0,b) \to (0,\infty)$ are smooth functions and $r \in (0,a)$ and $l \in (0,b)$ is given by the formula, see [25, proof of Lemma 2.1]:

$$R = \tfrac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\phi^2}(1-\phi_r^2) - \tfrac{2(n-1)}{\phi}\left(\phi_{rr} + \tfrac{\phi_r.\psi_r}{\psi}\right) - 2\tfrac{\psi_{rr}}{\psi}.$$

In our case $\phi(r) = \beta(r)$ while $\psi(r) = c + \alpha(r)$. Hence we obtain the following formula for the scalar curvature of g_{bcyl}.

$$\begin{aligned}R^{g_{\mathrm{bcyl}}}(r,\theta,l) &= \tfrac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\beta(r)^2}(1-\dot\beta(r)^2) - \tfrac{2(n-1)}{\beta(r)}\left(\ddot\beta(r) + \tfrac{\dot\beta(r).\dot\alpha(r)}{c+\alpha(r)}\right) - 2\tfrac{\ddot\alpha(r)}{c+\alpha(r)} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\beta(r)}\left(\tfrac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\beta(r)}(1-\dot\beta(r)^2) - 2(n-1)\ddot\beta(r)\right) \\ &\quad - \tfrac{2(n-1)}{\beta(r)}\tfrac{\dot\beta(r).\dot\alpha(r)}{c+\alpha(r)} - 2\tfrac{\ddot\alpha(r)}{c+\alpha(r)}.\end{aligned}$$

The first line of this formula, featuring the terms inside the large parentheses, is strictly positive everywhere. This follows from the fact that $\ddot\beta(r) \leq 0$ for all r. Any negativity arising from the remaining terms can be minimised by choosing a sufficiently large $c > 0$ and so, provided $n \geq 3$, the bend can always be made to preserve positive scalar curvature. $\square$

Note that in the case of the standard round cylinder of radius δ, the scalar curvature takes the following form.

$$\begin{aligned}R^{g_{\mathrm{bcyl}}} &= \tfrac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\delta^2 \sin^2 \frac{r}{\delta}}\left(1 - \cos^2 \tfrac{r}{\delta}\right) - \tfrac{2(n-1)}{\delta \sin \frac{r}{\delta}}\left(\tfrac{-1}{\delta}\sin\tfrac{r}{\delta} + \tfrac{\cos\frac{r}{\delta}.\sin\frac{r}{\delta}}{c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}\right) - 2\tfrac{\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}{\delta(c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta})} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\delta}\left(\tfrac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\delta} + \tfrac{2(n-1)}{\delta} - \tfrac{2(n-1)\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}{c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta}} - \tfrac{2\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}{c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}\right) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\delta}\left(\tfrac{(n)(n-1)}{\delta} - \tfrac{2n\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}{c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left|\tfrac{2n\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}{c+\delta\cos\frac{r}{\delta}}\right| \leq \tfrac{2n}{c},$$

it follows immediately that by choosing sufficiently large c, we may obtain a metric with everywhere positive scalar curvature. Notice that in this case it is sufficient to assume that $n \geq 2$.

References

1. A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds,* Springer-Verlag (Berlin, 1987)
2. B. Botvinnik, Johannes Ebert, Oscar Randal-Williams, *Infinite Loop Spaces and Positive Scalar Curvature,* Preprint at arXiv:1411.7408
3. B. Botvinnik, B. Hanke, T. Schick, M. Walsh, *Homotopy groups of the moduli space of metrics of positive scalar curvature,* Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), no. 4, 2047-2076.
4. B. Botvinnik and P.B. Gilkey, *The eta invariant and metrics of positive scalar curvature,* Math. Ann **302**, no. 3, 1995, 507-517.
5. V. Chernysh, *On the homotopy type of the space $\mathcal{R}^+(M)$,* Preprint, arXiv:math.GT/0405235
6. V. Chernysh, *A quasifibration of spaces of positive scalar curvature metrics.* Proc. AMS **134**, no. 9, September 2006, 2771-2777
7. R. Carr, *Construction of manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Trans. A.M.S. **307**, no. 1, May 1988, 63-74.
8. D. Crowley and T. Schick, *The Gromoll filtration, KO-characteristic classes and metrics of positive scalar curvature*, Geom. Topol., 17(3):17731789, 2013.
9. P. Gajer, *Riemannian Metrics of Positive Scalar Curvature on Compact Manifolds with Boundary,* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. Vol. 5, No. 3 (1987), 179-191
10. S. Galatius and O. Randal-Williams *Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional manifolds,* Acta Math., 212 (2) :257377, 2014.
11. M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Jr., *The classification of simply-connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature,* Ann. of Math. **111** (1980), 423–434.
12. B. Hanke, T. Schick and W. Steimle, *The Space of Metrics of Positive Scalar Curvature*, To appear in Publ.Math. IHES. Preprint at arXiv:1212.0068
13. A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology,* Cambridge University Press (2002)
14. M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology,* Springer (1980)
15. N. Hitchin, *Harmonic Spinors,* Advances in Math. 14 (1974), 155.
16. M. Kreck and S. Stolz, *Non-connected moduli spaces of positive sectional curvature metrics,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6, No. 4 (1993), 825-850.
17. F.C. Marques, *Deforming Three-Manifolds with Positive Scalar Curvature*, Ann. of Math. **176** (2012) Issue 2, 815–863.
18. J. R. Munkres, *Topology 2nd Edition*, Prentice-Hall 2000
19. R. S. Palais, *Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–16.
20. P. Peterson, *Riemannian Geometry 2nd Edition,* Springer (1998).
21. J. Rosenberg and S. Stolz, *Metrics of positive scalar curvature and connections with surgery,* Surveys on Surgery Theory, Vol. 2, Ann. of Math. Studies 149, Princeton Univ. Press, 2001.
22. R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature,* Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), 159–183. MR535700 (80k:63064)
23. S. Stolz, *Simply connected manifolds with positive scalar curvature,* Ann. of Math., 2nd Series **136**, no. 3 (1979), 511–540.
24. M. Walsh, *Metrics of positive scalar curvature and generalised Morse functions, Part I,* Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. Volume 209, No. 983, January 2011
25. M. Walsh, *Metrics of positive scalar curvature and generalised Morse functions, Part II,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 366(1):150, 2014.
26. M. Walsh, *Cobordism Invariance of the homotopy type of the space of positive scalar curvature metrics* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 141(7):24752484, 2013.

E-mail address: walsh@math.wichita.edu

Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Wichita State University, Wichita KS, 67260, U.S.A.